arXiv:1105.2971v1 [math.RT] 15 May 2011

TWISTED STRONG MACDONALD THEOREMS AND ADJOINT ORBITS

WILLIAM SLOFSTRA

Abstract. The strong Macdonald theorems state that, for L reductive and s an odd variable, the cohomology algebras $H^*(L[z]/z^N)$ and $H^*(L[z,s])$ are freely generated, and describe the cohomological, s-, and z-degrees of the generators. The resulting identity for the z-weighted Euler characteristic is equivalent to Macdonald's constant term identity for a finite root system. We calculate $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ and $H^*(\mathfrak{p}[s])$ for $\mathfrak{p}$ a standard parahoric in a twisted loop algebra, giving strong Macdonald theorems that take into account both a parabolic component and a possible diagram automorphism twist. In particular we show that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ contains (a parabolic subalgebra of) the coinvariant algebra of the fixed-point subgroup of the Weyl group of L, and thus is no longer free. When $\mathfrak{p}$ is a parahoric in an untwisted loop algebra and $N = 1$ our calculation gives a Lie algebraic proof of Borel's theorem that the coinvariant algebra is isomorphic to the cohomology algebra of the corresponding flag variety. Our calculation also implies that while $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p})$ is independent of t as a vector space when $\mathfrak{p}$ is a twisted arc algebra, this breaks when $\mathfrak{p}$ has a non-trivial parabolic component. Finally, we prove a strong Macdonald theorem for $H^*(\mathfrak{b}; S^*\mathfrak{n}^*)$ and $H^*(\mathfrak{b}/z^N\mathfrak{n})$ when $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ are Iwahori and nilpotent subalgebras respectively of a twisted loop algebra. For each strong Macdonald theorem proved, taking z-weighted Euler characteristics gives an identity equivalent to Macdonald's constant term identity for the corresponding affine root system. As part of the proof, we study the regular adjoint orbits for the adjoint action of the twisted arc group associated to L, proving an analogue of the Kostant slice theorem.

1. Introduction

Macdonald's constant term identity states that if Δ is a reduced root system then

$$[e^0]\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(1-q^{i-1}e^{-\alpha})(1-q^{i}e^{\alpha}) = \prod_{i=1}^{l}\binom{N(m_i+1)}{N}_q, \tag{1}$$

where $m_1,\ldots,m_l$ is the list of exponents of L and $\binom{a}{b}_q$ is the q-binomial coefficient. Macdonald presented the identity as a conjecture in [Ma82], and observed that it constitutes the untwisted case of a constant term identity for affine root systems. Further extensions (including a (q,t)-version) and proofs for individual affine root systems followed (see for instance [ZB85] [Hab86] [Ze87] [St88] [Ze88] [Ma88] [Gu90] [GG91] [Kad94]) until Cherednik gave a uniform proof of the most general version using double affine Hecke algebras [Ch95].

Suppose Δ is the root system of a semisimple Lie algebra L with exponents $m_1,\ldots,m_l$. Prior to Cherednik's proof, Hanlon observed in [Ha86] that the constant term identity would follow from a stronger conjecture:

(2) The cohomology $H^*\left(L[z]/z^N\right)$ is a free super-commutative algebra with N generators of cohomological degree $2m_i+1$ for each $i=1,\ldots,l$, of which, for fixed i, one has z-degree 0 and the others have z-degree Nm_i+j for $j=1,\ldots,N-1$.

Hanlon termed this the *strong Macdonald conjecture*, and gave a proof for $L = \mathfrak{sl}_n$. Feigin observed in [Fe91] that the identity of (1) and the theorem of (2) follow from:

(3) The (restricted) cohomology $H^*(L[z,s])$ for s an odd variable is a free super-commutative algebra with generators of tensor degree $2m_i + 1$ and $2m_i + 2$, z-degree n, for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and $n \geq 0$, where tensor degree refers to combined cohomological and s-degree.

This version of the strong Macdonald conjecture corresponds to the (q,t) version of the Macdonald constant term conjecture. However, an error was discovered in Feigin's proof of (3). A complete proof of (2) and (3) was given by Fishel, Grojnowski, and Teleman [FGT08], using an explicit description of the relative cocycles combined with Feigin's idea (a spectral sequence argument) to prove (2) from (3). The free algebra $H^*(L[s])$ (which can easily be calculated from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence) appears as a subalgebra of $H^*(L[z,s])$, and Fishel, Grojnowski, and Teleman also prove that if $\mathfrak{b}$ is the Iwahori subalgebra $\{f \in L[z] : f(0) \in \mathfrak{b}_0\}$ then $H^*(\mathfrak{b}[s])$ is the free algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{b}_0[s]) \otimes_{H^*(L[s])} H^*(L[z,s])$. In this case their proof does not yield explicit generating cocycles.

The purpose of this paper is to show that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}[s])$ is a free super-commutative algebra, and determine the degrees of the generators, when $\mathfrak{p}$ is a standard parahoric in the twisted loop algebra $L[z^{\pm 1}]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, for σ a (possibly trivial) diagram automorphism of L. Our proof is along the same lines as [FGT08]; in particular, we are able to give an explicit description of cocycles for the relative cohomology, and hence apply Feigin's spectral sequence to determine the cohomology of the truncatations $\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}$ when N is a multiple of the order of σ. Combined, our results for $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ give an extension of the strong Macdonald theorems to match the affine version of Macdonald's constant term identity. For a general parahoric, our calculation reveals that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}[s])$ is isomorphic to $H(\mathfrak{p}_0[s]) \otimes_{H^*(L_0[s])} H(L[z,s]^{\tilde{\sigma}})$, and hence can be viewed as providing an interpolation between the two extremal results of Fishel, Grojnowski, and Teleman.

The algebras $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ also have an interesting description. As in (2), the algebras $H^*(L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N)$ are free, but this is no longer the case with a non-trivial parabolic component. The algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \overline{\mathrm{R}}(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes_{H^*(L^\sigma)} H^*(L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ is the reductive component of the parabolic $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is the (parabolic subalgebra of the) coinvariant algebra of the Weyl group of L^σ. A classic theorem of Borel states that $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology algebra of the generalized flag variety X corresponding to the Lie algebra pair $(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{p}_0)$ [Bo53] [BGG73]. The cohomology of X is in turn isomorphic to the Lie algebra cohomology algebra $H^*(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a parahoric in an untwisted loop algebra, then it is not hard to show that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$, and hence in the simplest case our result gives a Lie algebraic proof of Borel's theorem. This is not the first description of the ring structure of $H^*(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ using Lie algebraic methods: the cohomology of X can also be described using the Schubert cells, and a basis of $H^*(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ dual to the Schubert cells has been worked out in Lie algebraic terms by Kostant [Ko63a]. Kostant and Kumar have shown that this method can be used to determine the ring structure of $H^*(L^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ in terms of the Schubert basis [KK86].

One intriguing consequence of Hanlon's conjecture is that $H^*(L[z]/z^N)$ is isomorphic as a vector space to $H^*(L)^{\otimes N}$. Since $L[z]/(z^N - t) \cong L^{\oplus N}$ for $t \neq 0$, this means that while the structure of $L[z]/(z^N - t)$ changes dramatically as t degenerates to zero, the cohomology is unchanged. Hanlon termed this "property M", and conjectured that it holds not only for semisimple Lie algebras, but also for the nilpotent radical of a parabolic in a semisimple Lie algebra and the Heisenberg Lie algebras [Ha90]. Kumar gave counterexamples to property M for the nilpotent radical of a parabolic [Ku99]. The conjecture for Heisenberg Lie algebras remains open, along with a number of other questions [Ha94] [HW03]. In the case of a parahoric in a twisted loop algebra $L[z^{\pm 1}]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, if $t \neq 0$ then the truncation $\mathfrak{p}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to $L^{\bigoplus N/k}$, irregardless of the parahoric component. Our calculation shows that the cohomology is unchanged for $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/(z^N - t)$ as t degenerates to zero, but degenerates from $H^*(L)^{\otimes N/k}$ to $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes H^*(L^{\sigma}, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes_{H^*(L^{\sigma})} H^*(L)^{\otimes N/k}$ for a general parahoric truncation $\mathfrak{p}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p}$.

The proof of the strong Macdonald theorem in [FGT08] is based on a Laplacian calculation for $H^*(L[z, s])$ using the unique Kahler metric on the loop Grassmannian. The Laplacian calculation shows that the ring of harmonic forms is isomorphic to a ring of basic and invariant forms on the arc space $L[[z]]$. The well-known facts about adjoint orbits in a reductive Lie algebra extend immediately from L to $L[[z]]$, and can be used to determine the ring of basic and invariant forms on $L[[z]]$. In the case of the parahoric, the corresponding homogeneous space has many Kahler metrics. To follow the line of the proof in [FGT08], we show that there is a particular choice of Kahler metric that makes an analogous Laplacian calculation work. The ring of harmonic forms is isomorphic to (a ring similar to) the ring of basic and invariant forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. To calculate this ring, we study the adjoint orbits on the twisted arc space $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ (the significant facts about adjoint orbits no longer extend immediately). As part of our calculation of the basic and invariant forms, we show that the GIT quotient of $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ by $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is $Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where $Q = L//G$. We also prove a slice theorem for twisted arcs in the regular semisimple locus, and an analogue of the Kostant slice theorem.

Removing the super-notation, the cohomology ring of $\mathfrak{p}[s]$ is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of $\mathfrak{p}$ with coefficients in the symmetric algebra $S^*\mathfrak{p}^*$ of the restricted dual of $\mathfrak{p}$. Frenkel and Teleman have shown that $H^*(\mathfrak{b}; S^*\mathfrak{n}^*)$ is a free algebra (and determined the degrees of the generators) when $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ are Iwahori and nilpotent subalgebras respectively of an untwisted loop algebra [FT06]. We prove Frenkel and Teleman's result in the twisted case and calculate the cohomology of the corresponding truncation $\mathfrak{b}\, /z^N\mathfrak{n}$. More generally, strong Macdonald theorems for different choices of coefficients might allow us to determine the cohomology of other truncations, such as $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N$ when N is not divisible by k. At the moment, this question appears to be open.

1.1. **Organization.** Section 2 contains an overview of our cohomology results and the connection with the constant term identity. Section 3 contains the Laplacian calculation. Section 4 contains all the results on adjoint orbits on $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ needed to complete the calculation of $H^*(\mathfrak{p}[s])$. Section 5 contains the spectral sequence argument for calculating the cohomology of the truncation $\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}$. The Kostant slice theorem is completed in Section 6, along with the calculation of $H^*(\mathfrak{b}, S^*\mathfrak{n}^*)$.

1.2. **Acknowledgements.** This paper forms part of my Ph.D. thesis at U.C. Berkeley. I thank my advisor, Constantin Teleman, for suggesting the project and for many helpful conversations. I also thank Dustin Cartwright, Anton Gerashenko, and Kevin Lin for helpful conversations about algebraic geometry. This work was supported in part by NSERC. Additional support was received from NSF grants DMS-1007255 and DMS-0709448.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Organization 3
1.2. Acknowledgements 3
2. Cohomology of standard parahorics 4
2.1. Notation and terminology 4
2.2. Exponents and diagram automorphisms 5
2.3. Cohomology of superpolynomials in a standard parahoric 6
2.4. Explicit description of cocycles for relative cohomology 7
2.5. Cohomology of the truncated algebra 8
2.6. The Macdonald constant term identity 10
3. The Lapalacian calculation and the set of harmonic forms 13
3.1. Nakano's identity and the semi-infinite chain complex 15
3.2. Kahler metrics for parahorics and the derivation J 16
3.3. Calculation of the curvature term 17
3.4. Proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 20
4. Adjoint orbits and basic invariant forms 20
4.1. Twisted arc and jet spaces 21
4.2. Diagram automorphisms and the structure of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ 23
4.3. The regular semisimple slice 25
4.4. Arcs in the regular locus 28
4.5. The ring of basic forms 31
5. Spectral sequence argument for the truncated algebra 33
6. Cohomology with coefficients in the nilpotent 38
6.1. A Kostant slice theorem 38
6.2. Consequences of the Kostant slice theorem 40
References 41

2. Cohomology of standard parahorics

2.1. **Notation and terminology.** We fix the following terminology and notation throughout the paper, except where explicitly stated. L will be a reductive Lie algebra with diagram automorphism σ of finite order k. The *twisted loop algebra* is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = L[z^{\pm 1}]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the automorphism sending $f(z) \mapsto \sigma(f(q^{-1}z))$ for q a fixed kth root of unity. $\mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} L_i \otimes z^i \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm k}],$$

where L_i is the q^ith eigenspace of σ. If L is simple then each L_i is an irreducible L_0-module. In particular if L is simple then L_0 is also simple; in general L_0 will be reductive. A reductive Lie algebra L has an anti-linear Cartan involution $\bar{\cdot}$ and a contragradient positive-definite Hermitian form $\{,\}$. These two structures extend to the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ so that for any grading of type d, $\overline{\mathfrak{g}_n} = \mathfrak{g}_{-n}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_m \perp \mathfrak{g}_n$ when $m \neq n$.

The root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be described as follows. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of L compatible with the diagram automorphism. Then $\mathfrak{h}_0 := \mathfrak{h}^{\sigma}$ is a Cartan in L_0, and L_0 has a set $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ of simple roots which are projections of simple roots of L. The roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be described as $\alpha + n\delta \in \mathfrak{h}_0^* \times \mathbb{Z}$ where either α is a weight of L_i with $n \equiv i \mod k$, or $\alpha = 0$ and $n \neq 0$, and δ comes from the rotation action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that L is simple, and let ψ be either the highest weight of L_1 (an irreducible L_0-module) if $k > 1$, or the highest root of L, if $k = 1$. Then the set $\alpha_0 = \delta - \psi, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ is a complete set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{g}$. If L is reductive then we can choose a set of simple roots by decomposing L as a direct sum of σ-invariant simple subalgebras plus centre, and taking the simple root sets from each corresponding factor of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be given a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by assigning degree $d_i \geq 0$ to the positive root vector associated to α_i. In Kac's terminology this is called a grading of type d [Ka83]. A *parahoric subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra of the form $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$, for some $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$ of type d. A parahoric subalgebra contains a *nilpotent subalgebra* defined by $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}_n$. We will say that a parahoric is *standard* if it comes from a grading of type d such that $d_i > 0$ whenever α_i is of the form $\delta - \psi$ for $\psi \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ is a standard parahoric. Let $S = \{\alpha_i : d_i = 0\}$, and $\mathfrak{p}_0$ be the parabolic subalgebra of L_0 defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (L_0)_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^- \cap \mathbb{Z}[S]} (L_0)_\alpha,$$

where $\Delta^\pm$ are the positive and negative roots of L_0 with respect to the chosen simple roots. Then $\mathfrak{p} = \{f \in \mathfrak{g} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$, while $\mathfrak{u} = \{f \in \mathfrak{g} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{u}_0\}$, where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_0$. Note that in this context the nilpotent radical of an algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is the largest nilpotent ideal in $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ (or equivalently the intersection of the kernels of all irreducible representations), so that $\mathfrak{u}_0$ does not intersect the centre of L.

The completion of a subalgebra $K \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading is the algebra $\hat{K} = \lim_{\leftarrow} K/K^{(k)}$, where $K^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{n>k} K_n$. If a parahoric subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is completed with respect to a grading of $\mathfrak{g}$ of type d, the result is a pro-Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. The pro-algebra structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is independent of the choice of grading. The dual of a pro-algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ will always refer to the continuous dual $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \cong \bigoplus \mathfrak{p}_n^*$. The continuous cohomology $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}; V)$ is defined similarly to the ordinary cohomology using a version of the Koszul complex with continuous cochains.

2.2. **Exponents and diagram automorphisms.** The exponents of L are integers $m_1, \ldots, m_l$ such that $H^*(L)$ is the free super-commutative algebra generated in degrees $2m_1+1, \ldots, 2m_l+1$, where l is the rank of L. Equivalently, we can define the exponents by saying that $(S^*L^*)^L$ is the free commutative algebra generated in degrees $m_1+1, \ldots, m_l+1$. Extend the action of σ to S^*L^* by $\sigma(f)(z) = f(\sigma^{-1}z)$. This convention is chosen so that $\sigma(\mathrm{ad}^t(x)f) = \mathrm{ad}^t(\sigma(x))\sigma(f)$ for all $f \in S^*L^*$ and $x \in L$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the ideal in $(S^*L^*)^L$ generated by elements of degree greater than zero. The diagram automorphism σ acts diagonalizably on the space $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}^2$ of generators for $(S^*L^*)^L$, and consequently it is possible to find homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ which are eigenvectors of σ.

Definition 2.1. *Choose a set of homogeneous generators for $(S^*L^*)^L$ which are eigenvectors of σ. The exponents of L can be sorted into different sets $m_1^{(a)}, \ldots, m_{l_a}^{(a)}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, by letting $m_1^{(a)}+1, \ldots, m_{l_a}^{(a)}+1$ be the list of degrees of homogeneous generators of $(S^*L^*)^L$ with eigenvalue q^{-a} (note the negative exponent). We call these sets the exponents of L_a.*

Although the above definition will be the most useful, there is a much nicer definition of the exponents of L_a. Recall that if V is an L_0-module and $\{h, e, f\}$ is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in L_0, then the generalized exponents of V are the eigenvalues of $h/2$ on the subspace $V^{L_0^e}$ fixed by the abelian subalgebra L_0^e. The generalized exponents are always non-negative integers, and the dimension of $V^{L_0^e}$ is equal to the dimension of the zero weight space of V.

Proposition 2.2. *The exponents of L_a are the generalized exponents of L_a as an L_0-module.*

The proof of Proposition 2.2 will be given in Subsection 4.4. The generalized exponents of L_0 are the same as the ordinary exponents (also see Corollary 4.21), and l_0 is the rank of L_0, so there is no conflict in our terminology. In general l_a is the dimension of $\mathfrak{h} \cap L_a$. If L is simple, then k is either 1 or 2, except when $L = \mathfrak{so}(8)$ in which case k can be 3 and L_1 is isomorphic to L_2. As a result, the exponents of L_a are the same as the exponents of L_{-a}. A principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in L_0 is also principal in L (again, see subsection 4.4), so L^e is abelian and hence $L_a^{L_0^e} = L_a^e$, simplifying the definition of generalized exponents in this case. The eigenvalues of $h/2$ give a principal grading $L_a = \bigoplus L_a^{(i)}$ of each L_a such that $L = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_a L_a^{(i)}$ is a principal grading for L. The representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ then implies:

Corollary 2.3. *The multiplicity of m in the list of exponents of L_a is $\dim L_a^{(m)} - \dim L_a^{(m+1)}$, where $L_a = \bigoplus L_a^{(i)}$ is a principal grading.*

The exponents of L_a can be easily determined when L is simple. The possibilities for $k = 2$ are given in the following table.

Type of L	Type of L_0	Exponents of L_0	Exponents of L_1
A_{2n}	B_n	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$	$2, 4, \ldots, 2n$
A_{2n-1}	C_n	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$	$2, 4, \ldots, 2n-2$
D_n	B_{n-1}	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-3$	$n-1$
E_6	F_4	$1, 5, 7, 11$	$4, 8$

When $k = 3$, L has type D_4, L_0 has type G_2, the exponents of L_0 are $1, 5$, and the exponent for L_1, L_2 is 3.

2.3. **Cohomology of superpolynomials in a standard parahoric.** Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{f \in \mathfrak{g} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ be a parahoric in a twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]$ denote the superpolynomial algebra in one odd variable with values in $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. The cohomology of the super Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]$ can be calculated as in the ordinary case using the Koszul complex, so any grading on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]$ induces a grading on $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s])$. In particular $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s])$ is graded by z-degree and by s-degree.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $m_1^{(a)}, \ldots, m_{l_a}^{(a)}$ denote the exponents of L_a, and let $r_1, \ldots, r_{l_0}$ denote the exponents of the reductive algebra $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is a parabolic in L_0. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is the standard parahoric $\{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ then the cohomology ring $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s])$ is a free super-commutative algebra generated in degrees given in the following table:*

Index set	*Cohomological degree*	*s-degree*	*z-degree*
$i = 1, \ldots, l_0$	$2r_i + 1$	0	0
$i = 1, \ldots, l_0$	$r_i + 1$	$r_i + 1$	0
$n \geq 1,\ a = 0, \ldots, k-1,\ i = 1, \ldots, l_{-a}$	$m_i^{(-a)} + 1$	$m_i^{(-a)} + 1$	$kn - a$
$n \geq 1,\ a = 0, \ldots, k-1,\ i = 1, \ldots, l_{-a}$	$m_i^{(-a)} + 1$	$m_i^{(-a)}$	$kn - a$

Proof. Since the bracket of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]$ is zero on the odd component, the Koszul complex for $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]$ reduces to the Koszul complex for $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ with coefficients in $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. Thus there is a ring isomorphism $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]) \cong H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$ in which $H^{n-q}_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}; S^q\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$ corresponds to the cohomology classes in $H^n_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s])$ of s-degree q. This isomorphism preserves z-degree.

The degree zero component of $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$, a reductive algebra which is the quotient of $\mathfrak{p}$ by the standard nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}$. It follows from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (in particular Theorem 12 of [HS53]) that there is a ring isomorphism

$$H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*).$$

Thus Theorem 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.5 which describes the relative cohomology. □

The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence implies that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0[s]) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes (S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. Thus $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0[s])$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s])$ of z-degree zero; the inclusion is the pullback map given by evaluation at zero (see Theorem 2.5). Replacing $L[z,s]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ with the parahoric changes the cohomology to $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}[s]) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0[s]) \otimes_{H^*(L_0[s])} H^*_{cts}(L[z,s]^{\tilde{\sigma}})$. The algebra $H^*_{cts}(L[z,s])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ can be more concisely described as the free supercommutative algebra with generators in tensor degree $2m_i^{(a)}+1$ and $2m_i^{(a)}+2$, and z-degree $nk+a$, for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$, and $n \geq 0$.

2.4. **Explicit description of cocycles for relative cohomology.** The proof of Theorem 2.4 depends on calculating the cohomology ring $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. In this case we can give not only a description of the cohomology ring, but an explicit description of a generating set of cocycles. Choose a set of generators I_i^a, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$ for $(SL^*)^*$ such that I_i^a is an eigenvector of σ with eigenvalue q^{-a}. Also choose a set of homogeneous generators $R_1, \ldots, R_{l_0}$ for $(S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$.

The polynomial functions I_k^a on L induce functions $\tilde{I}_k^a : L[[z]] \to \mathbb{C}[[z]]$, and the coefficients $[z^n]\tilde{I}_k^a$ of z^n in $\tilde{I}_k^a$ restrict to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant polynomial functions on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. Similarly, the polynomials R_i on $\mathfrak{p}_0$ can be pulled back via the quotient map $\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant polynomials on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. Finally, 1-cocycles can be constructed as follows. If J is a derivation of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ that kills $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\phi \in S^k\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant then the tensor

$$\hat{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes S^{k-1}\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathbb{C} : x \otimes s_1 \circ \ldots \circ s_{k-1} \mapsto \phi(Jx \circ s_1 \circ \cdots \circ s_{k-1}). \tag{4}$$

is a cocycle (see Lemma 3.1).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a standard parahoric in $\mathfrak{g}$, and let J be the derivation from Theorem 3.3. Then there is a metric on the Koszul complex such that the harmonic cocycles for $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$ form a free supercommutative ring generated by the cocycles in the following table:*

Cocycle description	*Index set*	*Coh. deg.*	*Sym. deg*	*z-deg.*
R_i	$i = 1, \ldots, l_0$	0	$\deg R_i$	0
$[z^{kn-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{-a}$	$n \geq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, l_{-a}$ $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$	0	$\deg I_i^{-a}$	$kn-a$
$x \otimes s \mapsto [z^{kn-a}]\tilde{I}_j^{-a}(Jx \circ s)$	$n \geq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, l_{-a},$ $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$	1	$\deg I_j^{-a} - 1$	$kn-a$

Proving Theorem 2.5 is the main concern of Sections 3 and 4.

We can also ask for an explicit description of the relative cohomology groups $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*)$. In this case, we can only provide an answer when $\mathfrak{p}$ is an Iwahori subalgebra—that is, a standard parahoric $\{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ where $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is a Borel subalgebra.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an Iwahori subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the nilpotent subalgebra. Let J be the derivation from Theorem 3.3. Then there is a metric on the Koszul complex such that the harmonic cocycles for $H^*_{cts}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathfrak{h}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^*\right)$ form a free supercommutative ring generated by the cocycles in the following table:*

Cocycle description	*Index set*	*Coh. deg.*	*Sym. deg*	*z-deg.*
$[z^{kn-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{-a}$	$n \geq 1,\ i = 1, \dots, l_{-a}$ $a = 0, \dots, k-1$	0	$\deg I_i^{-a}$	$kn - a$
$x \otimes s \mapsto [z^{kn-a}]\tilde{I}_j^{-a}(Jx \circ s)$	$n \geq 1,\ i = 1, \dots, l_{-a},$ $a = 0, \dots, k-1$	1	$\deg I_j^{-a} - 1$	$kn - a$

As with Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 can be used to calculate $H^*_{cts}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$. With an appropriate degree shift, the cohomology ring $H^*_{cts}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathfrak{h}; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ can also be regarded as the $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant part of $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}[s])$. The proof of Theorem 2.6 will be completed in Subsection 6.2.

2.5. **Cohomology of the truncated algebra.**

If N is a multiple of k then $z^N L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a subset of $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and hence $z^N\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{p}$. Theorem 2.5 can be used to determine the cohomology of the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}$.

Restriction to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ makes $(S\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ into a $(SL_0^*)^{L_0}$ algebra. Let $W(L_0)$ be the Weyl group of L_0, and $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ the subgroup which is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Definition 2.7. *Let $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the graded algebra which is the quotient of $(S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ by the ideal generated by $(S^{>0}L_0^*)^{L_0}$. Equivalently $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is the quotient of $(S^*\mathfrak{h}_0^*)^{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$ by the ideal generated by $(S^{>0}\mathfrak{h}_0^*)^{W(L_0)}$.*

For example $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, L_0) = \mathbb{C}$, while at the other extreme $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{h}_0)$ is the well-known coinvariant algebra of the Weyl group $W(L_0)$ acting on $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$. In general $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is subalgebra of $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ invariants in the coinvariant algebra. $(S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is a free $(S^*L_0^*)^{L_0}$-module (see Lemma 5.4), so it follows that the Poincare series for $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ with the symmetric grading is $\prod_{i=1}^{l_0}(1-q^{r_i+1})^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{l_0}\left(1-q^{m_i^{(0)}+1}\right)$, where $m_i^{(0)}$ refers to the exponents of L_0 and r_i refers to the exponents of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The dimension of $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is $|W(L_0)|/|W(\mathfrak{g}_0)|$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $m_1^{(a)}, \dots, m_{l_a}^{(a)}$ denote the exponents of L_a, and let $r_1, \dots, r_{l_0}$ be the exponents of the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. Let $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the coinvariant algebra, with a cohomological grading (resp. z-grading) defined by setting the cohomological degree (resp. z-degree) to twice (resp. N times) the symmetric degree.*

If $\mathfrak{p}$ is the standard parahoric $\{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ and N is a multiple of k then the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Lambda$, where Λ is the free supercommutative algebra generated in degrees given by the following table:

Index set	*Cohomological degree*	*z-degree*
$i = 1, \dots, l_0$	$2r_i + 1$	0
$a = 0, \dots, k-1,\ i = 1, \dots, l_a,\ 0 < nk + a < N$	$2m_i^{(a)} + 1$	$Nm_i^{(a)} + nk + a$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0),$$

so we only need to compute the relative cohomology. This will be done with a spectral sequence argument in Section 5 (see Proposition 5.6). □

When the parabolic component is trivial, $H^*\left(L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N\right)$ is simply the free super-commutative algebra with one set of generators in cohomological degree $2m_i^{(0)} + 1$ and z-degree 0 for $i = 1, \dots, l_0$, and another set of generators in cohomological degree $2m_i^{(a)} + 1$ and z-degree $Nm_i^{(a)} + nk + a$, where $a = 0, \dots, k-1$, $i = 1, \dots, l_a$, and n such that $0 < nk + a < N$. Theorem 2.8 can be restated as saying that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})$ is the algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes_{H^*(L_0)} H^*(L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N)$.

A classic theorem of Borel states that $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the generalized flag variety corresponding to the pair $(L_0, \mathfrak{p}_0)$ ([Bo53], see Theorem 5.5 of [BGG73] for the parabolic case), with cohomological degree equal to twice the symmetric degree on $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$. The cohomology ring of the generalized flag variety is in turn isomorphic to the relative Lie algebra cohomology ring $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$.[1] Theorem 2.8 actually generalizes Borel's theorem: if $\mathfrak{g}$ is untwisted then Theorem 2.8 states that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0) \cong \overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$, while we we show directly in Lemma 5.7 that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. In this case the z-grading on $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is half the cohomological grading, and corresponds to the holomorphic grading appearing in the Hodge decomposition.

The point of view of Borel's theorem is useful to compare to more general truncations. If $P(z)$ is a polynomial in z, then $P(z^k)L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a subset of $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and hence $P(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{p}$. We can assume that P is monic, and write $P = z^d + P_0$, where d is the degree of P and P_0 contains lower degree terms. Suppose $x \in L_i$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $(z^{dk} + P_0(z^k))xz^i$ is in $P(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if either $i > 0$ or $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$. So the degree of $\mathfrak{p}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$ is $d \cdot \dim L$.

Lemma 2.9. *If P and Q are coprime then $\mathfrak{p}/P(z^k)Q(z^k)\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{p}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}/Q(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$.*

Thus the study of $\mathfrak{p}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$ reduces to the case that P is the power of a linear factor. In the untwisted case, $L[z] \cong L[z-\alpha]$, so $L[z]/(z-\alpha)^N \cong L[z]/z^N$. However, in the twisted case this argument does not apply, since the automorphism $z \mapsto q^{-1}z$ is different from $z - \alpha \mapsto q^{-1}(z-\alpha)$. In particular:

Lemma 2.10. *If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{p}/(z^k - \alpha)\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to L.*

Proof. Let β be a kth root of α. Then evaluation at β defines a morphism $\mathfrak{p}/(z^k-\alpha)\mathfrak{p} \to L$. Both L and $\mathfrak{p}/(z^k-\alpha)\mathfrak{p}$ have the same dimension, so we just need to show that this map is onto. Given $x \in L$, write $x = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i$ where $x_i \in L_i$. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i\beta^{-i}z^i + x_0\alpha^{-1}z^k$. Then $f(\beta) = x$. □

The main case of interest is $\mathfrak{p}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p}$, which can be regarded as a deformation of $\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}$. Since $z^{N/k} - t$ splits into N/k coprime linear factors, the algebra $\mathfrak{p}/(z^N-t)\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to

---

[1] We have used the notation $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ in favour of $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ to avoid confusion about z-gradings.

$L^{\oplus N/k}$ for $t \neq 0$. At $t = 0$, the algebra $\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}$ has a large nilpotent ideal. Ignoring z-degrees, Theorem 2.8 tells us that $H^*\left(L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/z^N\right) \cong H^*(L)^{\otimes N/k}$, so the cohomology of $L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/(z^N - t)$ is independent of the value of t. On the other hand, Theorem 2.8 tell us that $H^*\left(\mathfrak{p}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p}\right)$ changes from $H^*(L)^{\otimes N/k}$ to $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes_{H^*(L_0)} H^*(L)^{\otimes N/k}$ as t degenerates to zero, where $H^*(L_0)$ acts on $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ via pullback. Interestingly, the cohomology of $\mathfrak{p}/z^k(z^N - t)\mathfrak{p}$ is unchanged as t degenerates to zero.

If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b}$ is an Iwahori and $\mathfrak{n}$ is the nilpotent subalgebra, then a similar analysis can be performed for $\mathfrak{b}/z^N\mathfrak{n}$. In this case, no anomalous factor appears, so we can determine the full ring structure.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $m_1^{(a)}, \ldots, m_{l_a}^{(a)}$ denote the exponents of L_a, let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an Iwahori subalgebra of the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the nilpotent subalgebra. Then $H^*(\mathfrak{b}/z^N\mathfrak{n})$ is the free super-commutative algebra with a generator in cohomological degree $2m_i^{(a)} + 1$ and z-degree $Nm_i^{(a)} + nk + a$ for every $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$, and n such that $0 < nk + a \leq N$, as well as l_0 generators of cohomological degree 1 and z-degree 0.*

The proof of Theorem 2.11 follows from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and Proposition 5.8. If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree d, then $\mathfrak{b}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{n}$ has dimension $d \cdot \dim L + l_0$. Furthermore, $[\mathfrak{b}, P(z^k)\mathfrak{h}_0]$ is contained in $P(z^k)\mathfrak{n}$, and there is a morphism $\mathfrak{b}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{b}/P(z^k)\,\mathfrak{b}$ with kernel $P(z^k)\mathfrak{h}_0$, so $\mathfrak{b}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{n}$ is a central extension of $\mathfrak{b}/P(z^k)\mathfrak{p}$ of rank l_0.

Lemma 2.12. *If $t \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{b}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{n}$ is isomorphic to $L^{\oplus N/k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l_0}$, where the second summand is abelian.*

Proof. $\mathfrak{b}/(z^N - t)\,\mathfrak{b}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of N/k copies of L. If L is semisimple, then so is $\mathfrak{b}/(z^N - t)\,\mathfrak{b}$, so all central extensions are trivial. The reductive case reduces to the semisimple case by splitting off the centre. □

Thus, disregarding z-gradings, $H^*\left(\mathfrak{b}/(z^N - t)\mathfrak{n}\right)$ is independent of t.

2.6. **The Macdonald constant term identity.** Theorem 2.8 can be used to prove the affine version of Macdonald's constant term conjecture. If $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha + n\delta$ is an affine root, for α a weight of L_0, set $e^{\hat{\alpha}} = q^{-n}e^{\alpha}$. In a slight abuse of notation, the operator $[e^0]$ will denote the sum of the $e^{n\delta}$ terms, ie. it is $\mathbb{C}(q)$-linear. Let δ^* denote the dual element to δ. The following theorem is Conjecture 3.3 of [Ma82], and was proven for all root systems by Cherednik [Ch95].

Theorem 2.13 (Cherednik)**.** *Let N be a multiple of k, and let S_N be the set of real roots*[2] *$\alpha + n\delta$ of the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $0 \leq n \leq N$, such that α is a positive (resp. negative) root of L_0 if $n = 0$ (resp. $n = N$). Let ρ be the element of $\mathfrak{h}_0$ such that $\alpha_i(\rho) = 1$ for all simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l_0}$ of L_0, and let $\rho_N = -N\rho + \delta^*$. Then*

$$[e^0] \prod_{\alpha \in S_N} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) = \prod_{\alpha \in S_N} \left(1 - q^{|\alpha(\rho_N)|}\right)^{\epsilon(\alpha)},$$

where $\epsilon(\alpha)$ is the sign of $\alpha(\rho_N)$.

Define a shifted q-binomial coefficent for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and multiples N, M of k by

---

[2] ie. $\alpha \neq 0$

$$\binom{N}{M}_{k,a} = \prod_{\substack{N-M<i\leq N\\ i\equiv a \mod k}} (1-q^i) \prod_{\substack{0<i\leq M\\ i\equiv a \mod k}} (1-q^i)^{-1}.$$

The right-hand side of Theorem 2.13 can be simplified by extending an idea of [Ma82] from the untwisted case.

Lemma 2.14. *The identity of Theorem 2.13 is equivalent to*

$$[e^0] \prod_{\alpha\in S_N} (1-e^{-\alpha}) = \prod_{a\in\mathbb{Z}_k} \prod_{i=1}^{l_a} \binom{N(m_i^{(a)}+1)}{N}_{k,a}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let Δ_a be the set of weights of the L_0-module L_a, and let Δ_a^+ denote the subset of $\alpha \in \Delta_a$ such that $\alpha(\rho) > 0$. If θ is an arbitrary function from positive integers to a multiplicative group, then

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_a^+} \frac{\theta(\alpha(\rho)+1)}{\theta(\alpha(\rho))} = \prod_{i=1}^{l_a} \frac{\theta\left(m_i^{(a)}+1\right)}{\theta(1)}.$$

To prove this, note that the eigenvalues of ρ on L_a are integers giving the principal grading of L_a, so the identity follows immediately from Corollary 2.3 by comparing the number of times $\theta(m)$ occurs on the top versus the bottom.

Define

$$A_a = \prod_{\substack{\alpha+n\delta\in S_N\\ \alpha\in\Delta_a}} \left(1-q^{|\alpha(\rho_N)|}\right)^{\epsilon(\alpha)},$$

and set $\theta_{-a}(m) = (1-q^{Nm-a})(1-q^{Nm-k-a})\cdots(1-q^{Nm-N+k-a})$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ represented by one of $0,\ldots,k-1$. Then

$$A_0 = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_0^+} \prod_{n=0}^{N/k-1} \left(1-q^{N\alpha(\rho)-nk}\right)^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{N/k} \left(1-q^{N\alpha(\rho)+nk}\right)$$

while if $a \neq 0$ we have

$$A_a = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_a^+} \prod_{n=0}^{N/k-1} \left(1-q^{N\alpha(\rho)-nk-a}\right)^{-1} \left(1-q^{N\alpha(\rho)+nk+a}\right).$$

In both cases,

$$A_a = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_a^+} \theta_{-a}(\alpha(\rho))^{-1}\theta_a(\alpha(\rho)+1).$$

Even if $-a$ and a are not congruent, L_a and L_{-a} are still isomorphic, so

$$A_aA_{-a} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_a^+} \theta_{-a}(\alpha(\rho))^{-1}\theta_a(\alpha(\rho)+1)\theta_a(\alpha(\rho))^{-1}\theta_{-a}(\alpha(\rho)+1).$$

Hence the right hand side of Theorem 2.13 is equal to

$$\prod_{a=0}^{k-1} A_a = \prod_{a\in\mathbb{Z}_k} \prod_{i=1}^{l_a} \frac{\theta_a\left(m_i^{(a)}+1\right)}{\theta_a(1)},$$

as required. $\square$

Let C^* be a chain complex with an additional grading $C^* = \bigoplus C^*_n$. The *weighted Euler characteristic* of C^* is

$$\chi(C^*; q) = \sum_{n,i} (-1)^* \dim C^i_n q^n.$$

As in the unweighted case, the Euler characteristic is invariant under taking homology. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ be a standard parahoric and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. Theorem 2.13 can be proved by comparing the z-weighted Euler characteristic for the Koszul complex of the pair $(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ with the weighted Euler characteristic of the cohomology ring:

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0$, for $\mathfrak{u}_0$ the nilpotent radical. Let K be a compact subgroup acting on L with complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and let T be a maximal torus in K with complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Let π_n denote the respresentation of K on L_n, and let ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ denote the representation of K on $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{u}_0}$ respectively. The weighted Euler characteristic of the Koszul complex is

$$\chi(q) = \sum (-1)^i q^i \dim \left(\bigwedge^i (\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p})^* \right)^K .$$

By orthogonality of traces of representations with respect to Haar measure,

$$\chi(q) = \int_K \det(\mathbb{1} - \phi(k)) \det(\mathbb{1} - q^N\overline{\phi}(k)) \prod_{0<n<N} \det(\mathbb{1} - q^n \pi_n(k)) dk.$$

The integrand is conjugation invariant, so by the Weyl integral formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(q) &= \frac{1}{|W(\mathfrak{g}_0)|} \int_T \det(\mathbb{1} - \phi(t)) \det(\mathbb{1} - q^N\overline{\phi}(t)) \prod_{0<n<N} \det(\mathbb{1} - q^n\pi_n(t)) \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^{\alpha(t)}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|W(\mathfrak{g}_0)|} [e^0] \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^\alpha) \cdot \Phi,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and

$$\Phi = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1} (1 - q^N e^\alpha)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha\in S_N} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) \prod_{0<n<N} (1 - q^n)^{l_n}$$

(note that the inverses divide into the other multiplicands). The coefficient of q^j in Φ is (up to sign) the character of a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module, so Φ is $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ invariant. Now we make use of the identity

$$\sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \frac{1 - q^N e^{w\alpha}}{1 - e^{w\alpha}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l_0} \frac{1 - q^{N(r_i+1)}}{1 - q^N}$$

found in [Ma82][Ma72] to get

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(q) &= \frac{1}{|W(\mathfrak{g}_0)|} \prod_{i=1}^{l_0} \frac{1 - q^N}{1 - q^{N(r_i+1)}} \cdot [e^0] \sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \frac{1 - q^N e^{w\alpha}}{1 - e^{w\alpha}} \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^\alpha) \cdot \Phi \\ &= \frac{1}{|W(\mathfrak{g}_0)|} \prod_{i=1}^{l_0} \frac{1 - q^N}{1 - q^{N(r_i+1)}} \cdot [e^0] \sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} w \cdot \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \frac{1 - q^N e^{\alpha}}{1 - e^{\alpha}} \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^\alpha) \cdot \Phi.\end{aligned}$$

Since the action of $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ does not change the constant term, this last sum gives

$$\chi(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{l_0}(1-q^{N(r_i+1)})^{-1} \prod_{0<n\leq N}(1-q^n)^{l_n} \cdot [e^0] \prod_{\alpha \in S_N}(1-e^{-\alpha}).$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.8 implies

$$\chi(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{l_0}\left(1-q^{N(r_i+1)}\right)^{-1} \prod_{0<n\leq N}\prod_{i=1}^{l_n}\left(1-q^{Nm_i^{(n)}+n}\right)$$

Identifying these two equations gives the identity of Lemma 2.14. □

The z-weighted Euler characteristic identity for $H^*(\mathfrak{b}\,/z^N\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is an Iwahori, is similarly equivalent to the identity of Lemma 2.14 (in this case the two identities are directly equivalent).

3. The Lapalacian calculation and the set of harmonic forms

As in the overview, let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a parahoric (not necessarily standard) in the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{u}_0$ the corresponding nilpotent. Choose a homogeneous basis $\{z_k\}$ for $\mathfrak{u}$, and let $\{z^k\}$ be the dual basis of $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Let (V,π) be a $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. The Koszul complex for $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; V)$ is the chain complex $(C^q, \bar{\partial})$ defined by

$$C^q(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; V) = \left(\bigwedge^q \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes V\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$$

and

$$\bar{\partial} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \epsilon(z^k)\left(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(z_k) + \pi(z_k)\right).$$

If C^q is given a positive-definite Hermitian form then the cohomology H^* can be identified with the set $\ker\overline{\Box}$ of harmonic forms, where $\overline{\Box} = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$. The goal of this section is to calculate $\ker\overline{\Box}$ for $V = S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ and $V = S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$, in a metric that we will introduce.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be an $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, and J a derivation of $\mathfrak{p}$ which annihilates $\mathfrak{g}_0$. If $\phi \in \bigwedge^k \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes V$ is $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant then*

$$x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \mapsto \phi(Jx_1, \ldots, Jx_k) \tag{6}$$

is a cocycle in $C^k(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; V)$.

Proof. Let f be the cochain constructed as in equation (6). Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\partial}f)(x_0,\ldots,x_k) &= \sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}f([x_i,x_j],\ldots,\check{x}_j,\ldots) + \sum_i (-1)^i x_i f(\ldots,\check{x}_i,\ldots)\\ &= \sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\phi(J[x_i,x_j], Jx_0,\ldots) + \sum_i(-1)^i x_i\phi(Jx_0,\ldots)\\ &= \sum_i(-1)^i\left(\operatorname{ad}^t(x_i)\phi\right)(Jx_0,\ldots,\check{x}_i,\ldots) + \sum_i(-1)^i x_i\phi(Jx_0,\ldots,\check{x}_i,\ldots),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that J is a derivation. If ϕ is $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant then the last line is zero, so f is a cocycle. That f is $\mathfrak{g}_0$-invariant is clear from the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariance and the fact that J annihilates $\mathfrak{g}_0$. □

Definition 3.2. *A linear function* $f : \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{u}}$ *defines a contraction operator*

$$\iota(f) : \bigwedge^{p} \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^q \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \to \bigwedge^{p-1} \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^{q+1} \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*.$$

A cochain $\omega \in \bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ *is* $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$-basic *if* $\iota(f)\omega = 0$ *for all* f *of the form* $y \mapsto [x, y]$, $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{u}}$.

The main theorem of this section allows us to identify $H^*_{cts}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$ with the ring of $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$-basic $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant cochains.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a parahoric in a twisted loop algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and let* $\mathfrak{u}$ *be the nilpotent subalgebra. Then there is a positive-definite Hermitian form on* $C^* = C^*(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$ *and a derivation* J *of* $\mathfrak{p}$ *such that the harmonic forms in* C^* *are closed under multiplication, and furthermore the map in Lemma 3.1 gives an isomorphism between the ring of* $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$*-basic* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$*-invariant elements of* $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ *and the ring of harmonic forms.*

Before proceeding to the proof, we note that Theorem 3.3 can be rephrased in a geometric manner. Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be pro-Lie groups with Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$ respectively. The space $\mathfrak{p}/\bigoplus_{n>k} \mathfrak{g}_n$ has the structure of an affine variety, so the pro-algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be regarded as a pro-variety, with coordinate ring $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$.

Definition 3.4. *The tangent space* $T\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is isomorphic to* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \times \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. *Let* $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *denote the subbundle of* $T\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *isomorphic to* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \times \hat{\mathfrak{u}}$, *and* $T^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *the continuous dual bundle of* $T_{>0}$. *Let* $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *denote the ring of global sections of* $T^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The bundle $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *contains all tangents to* $\mathcal{N}$*-orbits. We will say that an element of* $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is* $\mathcal{N}$-basic *if it vanishes on all tangents to* $\mathcal{N}$*-orbits.*

With this terminology, we can identify the ring of $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$-basic $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant cochains with the ring of $\mathcal{P}$-invariant $\mathcal{N}$-basic elements of $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Although Theorem 3.3 covers the main case of interest, a more natural result occurs if $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is replaced with $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. An element ω of $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ is $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-basic if $\iota(f)\omega = 0$ for all linear endomorphisms f of $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$ of the form $y \mapsto [x, y]$, $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a parahoric in a twisted loop algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$, *and let* $\mathfrak{u}$ *be the nilpotent subalgebra. Then there is a positive-definite Hermitian form on* $C^*(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*)$ *and a derivation* J *(the same as in Theorem 3.3) such that the harmonic forms are closed under multiplication, and furthermore the map of Lemma 3.1 gives an isomorphism between the ring of* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$*-basic and invariant elements of* $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ *and the ring of harmonic forms.*

In geometric language, the ring of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$-basic and invariant cochains is the same as the ring of $\mathcal{P}$-basic and invariant algebraic forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$.

For the proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5, we assume that the underlying Lie algebra L is semisimple, as this simplifies our Kahler metric construction. If L is reductive then $L = [L, L] \oplus \mathfrak{z}$, where $\mathfrak{z}$ is the centre, and consequently $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \oplus \mathfrak{z}[z^{\pm 1}]$. It is easy to deduce the reductive case of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 from the semisimple case by splitting off the centre (for instance we can extend J to be the identity on $\mathfrak{z}[z]$). The proof we give actually holds in more generality. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra (such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_n < +\infty$ for all n) with a conjugation (an anti-linear automorphism sending $\mathfrak{g}_n \mapsto \mathfrak{g}_{-n}$) and a contragradient positive-definite Hermitian form (satisfying $\mathfrak{g}_n \perp \mathfrak{g}_m$ for $m \neq n$). Let $\mathfrak{b} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$ and $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}_n$. Notice that $\overline{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ is an $\mathfrak{b}$-module. If $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = 0$[3] then Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 hold for $C^*(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{b}}^*)$ and $C^*(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*)$.

---

[3] This is the condition that does not hold if L has centre.

3.1. **Nakano's identity and the semi-infinite chain complex.** For the purposes of this section, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra with a conjugation, as in the last paragraph of the previous section (this time a contragradient metric is not required). Let $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ be the subalgebras $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\mathfrak{g}_n$ and $\bigoplus_{n>0}\mathfrak{g}_n$ respectively. These working assumptions are based on the standard conventions in semi-infinite cohomology, see e.g. [FGZ86]. In this section we state a version of Nakano's identity for the relative cohomology of $(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{g}_0)$. This version of Nakano's identity is a straight-forward generalization of a version for loop groups due to Teleman [Te95].

Let V be a locally finite $\mathfrak{b}$-module, such that the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ extends to an action of the complex conjugate $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$. Both the $\mathfrak{b}$ and the $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ action will be denoted by π. The relative semi-infinite chain complex with coefficients in V is a bicomplex $C^{*,*}(V)$ defined by

$$C^{-p,q}(V)=\left(\bigwedge^{q}\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^{*}\otimes\bigwedge^{p}\overline{\mathfrak{u}}\otimes V\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}.$$

There are truncated actions of $\mathfrak{u}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}=\mathfrak{g}/\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ and of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ on $\mathfrak{u}=\mathfrak{g}/\,\mathfrak{b}$. Both will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}$. The bicomplex $C^{*,*}$ has two differentials $\bar{\partial}$ and D, of degrees $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ respectively. $\bar{\partial}$ is the differential for the Lie algebra cohomology of $(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{g}_0)$ with coefficients in $\bigwedge^{*}\overline{\mathfrak{u}}\otimes V$, and can be explicitly defined as

$$\sum_{k>0}\epsilon(z^k)\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}^t(z_k)+\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^{t}(z_k)+\pi(z_k)\right),$$

where $\{z_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a homogeneous basis of $\mathfrak{u}$ as before and ϵ is exterior multiplication. D is the differential for the Lie algebra homology of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ with coefficients in $\bigwedge^{q}\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^{*}\otimes V$, restricted to the $\mathfrak{g}_0=\overline{\mathfrak{b}}/\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ invariants. D can be explicitly defined as

$$\sum_{k<0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}(z_k)+\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}(z_k)+\pi(z_k)\right)\iota(z^k),$$

where $z_{-k}=\overline{z_k}$ and ι is the contraction operator on $\bigwedge^{*}\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$. Note that ι is extended to $C^{*,*}(V)$ so as to respect super-commutativity, so $\iota(f)\alpha\otimes\beta\otimes v=(-1)^q\alpha\otimes\iota(f)\beta\otimes v$ for $\alpha\in\bigwedge^{q}\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^{*}$, $\beta\in\bigwedge^{*}\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, and $v\in V$.

Let d be the total differential $d=\bar{\partial}+D$. A standard fact from semi-infinite cohomology is that $d^2=\epsilon(\gamma)$, where γ is the the semi-infinite cocycle defined by $\gamma|_{\mathfrak{g}_m\times\mathfrak{g}_n}=0$ if $m+n\neq 0$ or $m=n=0$, and otherwise by

$$\gamma(x,y)=\sum_{0\leq n<k}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_n}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y))$$

for $x\in\mathfrak{g}_k$, $y\in\mathfrak{g}_{-k}$, and $k>0$. Since γ has type $(1,1)$, the operator $\epsilon(\gamma)$ on $C^{*,*}$ should be interpreted in the semi-infinite sense, wherein $\epsilon(z^k\wedge z^{-l})=\epsilon(z^k)\iota(z^{-l})$, for $k,l>0$.

Definition 3.6. *A* Kahler metric *for the pair* $(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *is a Hermitian form* $(,)$ *on* $\mathfrak{g}$ *such that*

- $(,)$ *is positive-definite on* $\mathfrak{u}$ *and zero on* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*,*
- $([x,y],z)=-(y,[\overline{x},z])$ *for all* $x\in\mathfrak{g}_0$*, and*
- *the fundamental form* $\omega\in\mathfrak{u}^{*}\otimes\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^{*}\subset\bigwedge^{2}\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ *defined by* $\omega(a,b)=-i(a,\overline{b})$ *for* $a\in\mathfrak{u}$*,* $b\in\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ *is a cocycle.*

Note that we can define a Kahler metric by giving the the restricted Hermitian form on $\mathfrak{u}$ and then extending to $\mathfrak{g}$ by zero on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and by $(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) = \overline{(a, b)}$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{u}$.

Suppose that there is a Kahler metric for the $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. Let L denote multiplication by the fundamental form ω, defined explicitly as

$$L = -i \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon(z^k) \iota(z^{-k}),$$

where the basis $\{z_k\}$ is now required to be orthonormal in the Kahler metric. Let $\Lambda = L^*$ be the adjoint of L on the complex $C^{*,*}(\mathbb{C})$ with trivial coefficients, extended by $\otimes \mathbb{1}$ on $C^{*,*}(V)$. Letting $H = [\Lambda, L]$, it is not hard to check that $\{H, \Lambda, L\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple, and that H acts on $C^{-p,q}$ by $p - q$ (in other words, if the degree of $C^{-p,q}$ is defined to be $q - p$ then H acts by $-\deg$). This $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action is used in Hodge theory to prove Nakano's identity. Teleman adapted this proof to give an algebraic version of Nakano's identity for the loop algebra [Te95]. More generally, the same proof gives:

Proposition 3.7 (Nakano's identity). *Suppose there is a Kahler metric for $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$, and V has a contragradient positive-definite Hermitian form. Then in the induced metric on $C^{*,*}(V)$ we have*

$$\overline{\Box} = \Box + i[\epsilon(\gamma + \Theta), \Lambda],$$

where $\overline{\Box}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$-Laplacian, $\Box$ is the D-Laplacian, γ is the semi-infinite cocycle, and Θ is the curvature form

$$\Theta = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} z^{-i} \wedge z^j \left([\pi(z_{-i}), \pi(z_j)] - \pi([z_{-i}, z_j]) \right).$$

On restricting to $p = 0$, the complex $(C^{0,q}(V), \bar{\partial})$ becomes the Koszul complex for the Lie algebra cohomology of the pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ with coefficients in V. The curvature term $i[\epsilon(\Theta), \Lambda]$ is straight-forwardly shown to be

$$-\sum_{i,j \geq 1} \epsilon(z^i) \iota(z_j) \left([\pi(z_i), \pi(z_{-j})] - \pi([z_i, z_{-j}]) \right) \tag{7}$$

on $C^{0,q}(V)$, where $\{z_i\}$ is a homogeneous basis orthonormal in the Kahler metric.

3.2. **Kahler metrics for parahorics and the derivation J.** Now we return to the case of a parahoric $\mathfrak{p}$ in a twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$ for some grading of type d, and that for the purposes of the proof we are assuming that $\overline{L}$ is semisimple. Consequently there is a Kac-Moody algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, and this Kac-Moody algebra has a standard non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$. The contragradient Hermitian form $\{ , \}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a symmetric invariant bilinear form $\{\cdot, \bar{\cdot}\}$, and this symmetric form extends to a scalar multiple of the standard invariant form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is also graded by the root lattice of the Kac-Moody algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let ρ be a weight of the Kac-Moody defined on simple coroots by $\rho(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0$ if $d_i = 0$ and $\rho(\alpha_i^\vee) = 1$ if $d_i > 0$ (note that the $\alpha_i^\vee$'s are coroots of the associated Kac-Moody, not of the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$). Let J be the derivation of $\mathfrak{p}$ acting on root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ as multiplication by $2\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\{ , \}$ be the contragradient positive definite Hermitian form on $\mathfrak{g}$, normalized to match the standard invariant form on the associated Kac-Moody. Then J is positive-definite and $(\cdot, \cdot) = \{J\cdot, \cdot\} = \{\cdot, J\cdot\}$ is a Kahler metric for $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ with fundamental form $i\gamma$, where γ is the semi-infinite cocycle.*

Proof. The only thing to prove is that $(,)$ has fundamental form $i\gamma$. We go about the proof somewhat backwards: let $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the truncated action of $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}/\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, and define

$$J' = \sum_{k \geq 1} \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_k) \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_k)^*,$$

where $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a homogeneous basis for $\mathfrak{u}$, orthonormal in the contragradient metric. Define $(\cdot, \cdot)' = \{J' \cdot, \cdot\}$. Then J is positive-semidefinite by definition, so $(,)'$ is a positive-semidefinite Hermitian form. Suppose $a \in \mathfrak{g}_n$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}_{-n'}$, $n, n' \geq 0$, and assume without loss of generality that $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_k)^* = -\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{x_k})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, \overline{b})' = \{J'a, \overline{b}\} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \{[\overline{x_k}, a], [\overline{x_k}, \overline{b}]\} \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \{[b, [a, \overline{x_k}]], \overline{x_k}\} = -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-l}}(\mathrm{ad}(b)\,\mathrm{ad}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-l}}(\mathrm{ad}(b)\,\mathrm{ad}(a)) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-l}}(\mathrm{ad}(a)\,\mathrm{ad}(b))$, so $-i(a, \overline{b})' = i\gamma(a, b)$. Since γ is a cocycle and $\{,\}$ is contragradient, it follows that J' is a derivation. (It is possible to show that J' is a derivation directly but it takes a little work).

Now $\mathfrak{p}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and the root vectors e_i with $d_i > 0$. J' annihilates $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and if $d_i > 0$ then

$$J' e_i = \frac{[e_i, [f_i, e_i]]}{\{e_i, e_i\}} = 2\langle \rho, \alpha_i \rangle e_i,$$

where $f_i = -\overline{e_i}$. It follows that $J' = J$, finishing the proof. ☐

More generally, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra with conjugation and a contragradient positive-definite Hermitian form, then we can define a Kahler metric simply by using the operator J' form the proof of Proposition 3.8. The hypothesis $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = 0$ is needed to ensure that the metric is positive-definite.

3.3. **Calculation of the curvature term.** If S is a linear operator $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \to \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, define an operator $d_R(S)$ on $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ by

$$d_R(S)(\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_k \otimes b) = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \hat{\alpha}_i \ldots \wedge \alpha_k \otimes S(\alpha_i) \circ b.$$

If T is an operator $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \to \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$, define a similar operator $d_L(T)$ by

$$d_L(T)(\alpha \otimes b_1 \circ \cdots \circ \cdots b_l) = \sum_i T(b_i) \wedge \alpha \otimes b_1 \circ \cdots \hat{b}_i \cdots \circ b_l$$

Recall that truncated actions are denoted by $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}$, with subscripts denoting the appropriate truncated space. By abuse of notation, let J^{-1} denote the inverse of the restriction of the derivation of Proposition 3.8 to $\mathfrak{u}$. We will also use J^{-1} to denote the dual operator on $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a parahoric subalgebra of a twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $V = S^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ with the contragradient metric. The Laplacian on $C^*(V)$ with respect to the dual Kahler metric from Proposition 3.8 has curvature term*

$$i[\epsilon(\gamma + \Theta), \Lambda] = \sum_{i > 0} d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i) J^{-1}\right)^* d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i) J^{-1}\right),$$

where $\{x_i\}$ *is a basis for* $\mathfrak{u}$ *orthonormal in the contragradient metric, and* $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ *is considered as the subset of* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ *that is zero on* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *(so that* $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *sends* $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ *to* $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$*).*

Proof. Let $R = i[\epsilon(\Theta), \Lambda]$. The action $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t$ acts as a derivation on the symmetric algebra $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, so by Equation (7), R is a second-order operator. This means that if $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$, $b_0, \ldots, b_l \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ then

$$R(\alpha_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k \otimes b_0 \circ \cdots \circ b_l) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i R(\alpha_i \otimes b_j)\alpha_0 \cdots \hat{\alpha_i} \cdots \hat{b_j} \cdots b_l.$$

In particular, R is determined by its action on $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$.

The truncated action on V is isomorphic to the truncated action on $V' = S^*\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ via the contragradient metric. Let $R' = i[\epsilon(\Theta_{V'}), \Lambda]$. If $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ and $w \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ then we claim that

$$R'(f \otimes w) = \sum_{i>0} \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(w) z^i \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i)\phi^{-1}(f),$$

where $\{z_i\}$ is any homogeneous basis of $\mathfrak{u}$, ϕ is the isomorphism $\overline{\mathfrak{u}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ induced by the Kahler metric, and $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ is considered as a subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$, so that $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ maps from $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$. To prove this, let $\{z_i\}$ be orthonormal with respect to the Kahler metric, and think about $f = z^k$, w arbitrary. Observe that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z)w = \sum_{s \geq 1} y^{-s}([z, w])y_{-s},$$

where $\{y_s\}_{s\geq 1}$ is a homogeneous basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $y_{-s} = \overline{y_s}$. So if $z_{-j} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-m}$, then

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i)\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_{-j})w = \sum_{s\geq 1} y^{-s}([z_i, [z_{-j}, w]])y_{-s},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_{-j})\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i)w &= \sum_{s\geq 1} y^{-s}([z_i, w])[z_{-j}, y_{-s}] \\ &= \sum_{n\leq 0} \sum_{y_{-s}\in\mathfrak{g}_{n-m}} y^{-s}([z_{-j}, [z_i, w]])y_{-s}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}([z_i, z_{-j}])w = \sum_{s\geq 1} y^{-s}([[z_i, z_{-j}], w])y_{-s}.$$

Consequently

$$\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i), \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_{-j})\right] - \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}([z_i, z_{-j}])\right) w = \sum_{-m<n\leq 0} \sum_{y_{-s}\in\mathfrak{g}_n} y^{-s}([z_{-j}, [z_i, w]])y_{-s}.$$

After removing the reference to m here, we get

$$\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i), \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_{-j})\right] - \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}([z_i, z_{-j}])\right) w = \sum_{s\geq 1} \sum_{l\geq 1} y^{-s}([z_{-j}, z_l])z^l([z_i, w])y_{-s}.$$

Now from Equation (7),

$$\begin{aligned}R'(z^k \otimes w) &= -\sum_{i>0} z^i \otimes \left(\left[\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i), \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_{-k})\right] - \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}([z_i, z_{-k}])\right) w \\ &= -\sum_{i,l,s>0} z^i \otimes y^{-s}([z_{-k}, z_l])z^l([z_i, w])y_{-s}.\end{aligned}$$

Moving the w action from z_i to z^i, the last expression becomes

$$-\sum_{i,s>0} \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{t}(w)z^i \otimes y^{-s}([z_{-k}, z_i])y_{-s} = \sum_{i>0} \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{t}(w)z^i \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(z_i)z_{-k}.$$

The proof of the claim is finished by noting that this last expression is independent of the choice of basis $\{z_i\}$ for $\mathfrak{u}$ and that that $z_{-k} = \phi^{-1}(z^k)$.

Now we can translate from V' to V using the isomorphism $\psi : \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ induced by the contragradient form. The operator J on $\mathfrak{u}$ has a basis $\{x_i\}$ of eigenvectors orthonormal in the contragradient metric. If $Jx_i = \lambda_i x_i$ then $\phi(\overline{x_i}) = \lambda_i x^i$, and thus $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}(x^i) = \lambda_i^{-1} x^i$. It follows that $\psi \circ \phi^{-1} = J^{-1}$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Next, $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{t}(w)\psi(x) = -\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x)\psi(w)$. Since $\psi(\overline{x_i}) = x^i$ we can conclude that

$$R(f \otimes g) = -\sum_{i>0} \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(\overline{x_i})g \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)J^{-1}f,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(\overline{x_i})$ is regarded as a map from $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$.

If $S, T \in \operatorname{End}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)$, let $\operatorname{Switch}(S, T)$ be the second order operator on $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ sending $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto T\beta \otimes S\alpha$. Note that $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)^* = -\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(\overline{x_i})$. We have shown that R is the restriction of the operator

$$\sum_i \operatorname{Switch}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)J^{-1}, \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)^*\right)$$

to $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, where J^{-1} is zero on $\mathfrak{g}_0$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Switch}(S, T) = d_L(T)d_R(S) - (TS)^\wedge$, where $(TS)^\wedge$ is the operator TS extended to $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ as a derivation. Also, $d_L(T)^* = d_R(T^*J^{-1})$, where T^* is the adjoint of T in the contragradient metric. Note that the J^{-1} term comes from the difference between the contragradient metric on the symmetric factor and the Kahler metric on the exterior factor. Finally we have

$$R = \sum_i d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)^* d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)J^{-1}\right) + \sum_i \left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(\overline{x_i})\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)^\wedge.$$

Now $\sum_i \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(\overline{x_i})\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t}(x_i)$ is the negative of the dual of the derivation J on $\mathfrak{u}$, while J^{-1} is the dual of the inverse of J. Thus on $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$, this second summand is simply $-\deg$. But since we have chosen a Kahler metric with fundamental form $i\gamma$, we have $i[\epsilon(\gamma), \Lambda] = [L, \Lambda] = -H = \deg$, finishing the proof of the Proposition. □

Similarly, given endomorphisms S and T of $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ we can define operators $d_R(S)$ and $d_L(T)$ on $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a parahoric subalgebra of a twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the nilpotent subalgebra. Let $V = S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ with the contragradient metric. The Laplacian on $C^*(V)$ with respect to the dual Kahler metric from Proposition 3.8 has curvature term*

$$i[\epsilon(\gamma + \Theta), \Lambda] = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{t}(y_i)J^{-1}\right)^* d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{t}(y_i)J^{-1}\right),$$

where $\{y_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{p}$ orthonormal in the contragradient metric.

The proof of Proposition 3.10 is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.9. A proof of the analogous result for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras with the principal grading can be found in [Sl10].

3.4. **Proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5.** Once again let J denote the operator on $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ which is the dual of the derivation J on $\mathfrak{u}$. We give a proof of Theorem 3.3; the proof of Theorem 3.5 is identical. Let J_Δ denote the diagonal extension of J to the exterior factor of $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. The adjoint of $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x)$ in the Kahler metric is $-J\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\overline{x})J^{-1}$. Thus we can directly calculate that

$$D^* = -\sum_{i<0} \epsilon(x_i) \left((J\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x_{-i})J^{-1})^\wedge + \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{-i})^{Sym} \right),$$

where $\{x_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{u}$ orthonormal in the contragradient metric and $x_{-i} = \overline{x_i}$. On $C^{0,q}(V)$ the D-Laplacian is $\square = DD^*$, so the set of harmonic cocycles is the joint kernel of the operators D^* above and $d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)$, $i \geq 1$. The kernel of D^* on $C^{0,q}(V)$ is the joint kernel of the operators $\left(J\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)^\wedge + \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)^{Sym}$, $i \geq 1$. Now we have

$$d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)J_\Delta = J_\Delta d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)\right) \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\left(J\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x_i)J^{-1}\right)^\wedge + \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)^{Sym}\right)J_\Delta = J_\Delta\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x_i)^\wedge + \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)^{Sym}\right).$$

Thus we see that J_Δ^{-1} identifies the set of harmonic cocycles with the joint kernels of the operators $d_R\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)\right)$, $i \geq 1$, and $\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{u}}(x_i)^\wedge + \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}^t_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)^{Sym}\right)$, $i \geq 1$. Since the elements of $C^{0,q}(V)$ are $\mathfrak{g}_0$-invariant by definition, the kernel of the latter family of operators is the set of $\mathfrak{p}$-invariant cochains. The kernel of the former family of operators is the set of $\mathfrak{u}$-basic cochains, finishing the proof.

4. Adjoint orbits and basic invariant forms

The point of this section is to prove Theorem 2.5. Accordingly, in this section $\mathfrak{p} = \{f \in L[z]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ will be a standard parahoric of a twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} = L[z^{\pm 1}]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. As per the previous section, we want to determine the ring of invariant and basic elements of $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. To do this, we need to extend two well-known results on the orbit structure of the adjoint representation of a reductive Lie algebra to the case of a standard parahoric. The results we are interested in extending are the slice theorem for regular-semisimple orbits (addressed in subsection 4.3) and part of the Kostant slice theorem for regular orbits (addressed in subsection 4.4). Theorem 2.5 will be proved in subsection 4.5.

Before we start, we cover some basic notations and facts. Let G be a connected algebraic group with Lie algebra L such that the diagram automorphism σ lifts to G. The connected pro-algebraic subgroup of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ corresponding to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{N}$ will be the pro-nilpotent radical of $\mathcal{P}$. Let Q be the GIT quotient $L//G$, defined as the affine variety with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[Q] = (S^*L^*)^G = (S^*L^*)^L$. Recall that $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ is a free algebra generated by homogeneous elements in degrees $m_1+1, \ldots, m_l+1$, where l is the rank of L and $m_1, \ldots, m_l$ are the exponents. Since $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ has generators which are eigenvectors of σ, it is possible to define a linear action of σ on Q so that the projection $L \to Q$ is σ-equivariant.

Let $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset G$ be the connected subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}_0$ and let $R = \mathfrak{p}_0//\mathcal{P}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ be the reductive factor in $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the corresponding Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_0$. The Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to the Weyl group of L_0 generated by reflections through the simple roots determining $\mathfrak{p}_0$. So $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ acts by reflections on any Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$ of L_0, and $(S^*\mathfrak{h}_0)^{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$ is a free algebra generated by homogeneous elements. The following lemma is likely well-known, but is useful enough to state. Recall that $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0$, where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is the nilpotent radical in $\mathfrak{p}_0$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{C}[R]$ then $f(x, y) = f(x, 0)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $y \in \mathfrak{u}_0$.*

Proof. The set of regular elements $\mathfrak{h}_0^r$ is dense in $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Since $[\mathfrak{g}_0, x] + \mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$ for any element $x \in \mathfrak{h}_0^r$, the set $\mathcal{M}\mathfrak{h}_0^r$ is dense in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ be the unipotent subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{u}_0$. If x belongs to $\mathfrak{h}_0^r$ then $\mathcal{N}_0 x = x + \mathfrak{u}_0$. Since $\mathcal{N}_0$ is normal in $\mathcal{P}_0$, this property extends to any $x \in \mathcal{M}\mathfrak{h}_0^r$. So if f is invariant then $f(x, y) = f(n(x, 0)) = f(x, 0)$ for x in an open dense subset of $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. □

As a consequence of this lemma we have $R \cong \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}//\mathcal{M} \cong \mathfrak{h}_0//W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

We now introduce some background material on twisted arc spaces.

4.1. **Twisted arc and jet spaces.** By a variety, we mean a separated, reduced, but not necessarily irreducible, scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. The arc space $X[[z]]$ of a variety X over $\mathbb{C}$ is a reduced separated scheme of infinite type representing the functor $Y \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(Y \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[z]], X)$. Intuitively the arc space is the space of maps from the formal arc $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ into X. The mth jet space $J_m X$ ($m \geq 0$) is a variety representing the functor $Y \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(Y \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[z]/z^m, X)$. If $m \leq n$ then there is a morphism $J_n X \to J_m X$, and $X[[z]]$ is the inverse limit of the jet spaces of X. The $\mathbb{C}$-points of $J_m X$ are m-jets, ie. morphisms $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[z]/z^m \to X$. For example, $J_0 X = X$ and $J_1 X$ is the tangent space of X. If X is the affine subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ cut out by the equations $f_1 = \ldots = f_k = 0$ then $J_m X$ can be realized as the algebraic set $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{C}[z]/z^m)^n : f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0, i = 1, \ldots, k\}$. The associations $V \mapsto V[[z]]$ and $V \mapsto J_m V$ are functorial, so if G is an algebraic group then $J_m G$ is an algebraic group and $G[[z]]$ is a pro-group.

The following well-known lemma is useful for working with jet spaces:

Lemma 4.2 ([Mu01])**.** *If $X \to Y$ is etale then $J_m X = X \times_Y J_m Y$ and $X[[z]] = X \times_Y Y[[z]]$.*

Open immersions are etale, so if $U \subset X$ is an open subset the pullback of U via $J_m X \to X$ is equal to $J_m U$. In particular, $J_m X$ is covered by open subsets $J_m U$, where $U \subset X$ is an affine open. Similarly, $X[[z]]$ is covered by affine open subsets $U[[z]]$, $U \subset X$ affine open (the inverse limit of affine schemes is affine). If there is an etale map $X \to \mathbb{A}^n$ then $J_m X = X \times \mathbb{A}^{mn}$ and $X[[z]] = X \times \mathbb{A}^\infty$. Consequently if X is smooth of dimension n then $J_m X \to J_k X$ is a (Zariski) locally-trivial $\mathbb{A}^{n(m-k)}$-bundle. In particular, $J_m X$ is smooth and the truncation morphisms $J_m X \to J_k X$ are surjective. Similarly, if X is smooth then $X[[z]] \to X$ is a locally-trivial $\mathbb{A}^\infty$-bundle and consequently $X[[z]]$ is smooth.

The following lemma is likely also well-known (and follows easily from formal smoothness):

Lemma 4.3. *If $X \to Y$ is smooth and surjective then the maps $J_m X \to J_m Y$ and $X[[z]] \to Y[[z]]$ are also surjective.*

From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we can deduce the following proposition:

Proposition 4.4. *If $E \to M$ is an etale-locally trivial principal G-bundle then $J_m E \to J_m M$ is an etale-locally trivial principal $J_m G$-bundle.*

Proof. For $E \to M$ to be etale-locally trivial means that there is a surjective etale morphism $U \to M$ such that the pullback of E over U is isomorphic to the trivial G-bundle $U \times G$. Now J_m preserves etale maps (by Lemma 4.2 and the fact that etale maps are preserved under base change) and thus $J_m U \to J_m G$ is etale and surjective. The proof is finished by observing that J_m preserves pullbacks (which follows from the definition of the pullback via the functor of points). □

Proposition 4.4 on jet spaces has the following corollary for arc spaces.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose X has a free G-action such that an etale-locally trivial quotient $X \to X/G$ exists. Then $(X/G)[[z]]$ is isomorphic to $X[[z]]/G[[z]]$, where this last quotient is the pro-variety quotient, ie. the inverse limit of the quotients J_mX/J_mG.*

If $X \to X/G$ is etale-locally trivial then it is also surjective, so by Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.3 the map $X[[z]] \to X[[z]]/G[[z]]$ is surjective. If X is affine with a free G-action and G is reductive then $X/G = X//G$, the GIT quotient, and $X \to X/G$ is etale-locally trivial by Luna's slice theorem [Lu73] (the theorem applies because all orbits under a free action are closed, see the discussion on page 53 of [Bo91]). All the quotients we study will be of this type.

Now suppose that X has an automorphism σ of finite order k. This automorphism lifts to an automorphism σ of the jet spaces J_mX and arc space $X[[z]]$. Choose a fixed kth root of unity q, and let $m(q)$ denote the automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[z]/z^n$ and $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ induced by sending $z \mapsto qz$.

Definition 4.6. *Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ denote the automorphism $\sigma \circ m(q)^{-1}$. The* twisted arc space $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ *is the pullback*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X[[z]] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\sigma}\times\mathbb{1}"] \\
X[[z]] \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & X[[z]] \times X[[z]]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Δ is the diagonal map. Twisted jet spaces are defined similarly.

Since jet and arc spaces are separated, $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a closed subscheme of $X[[z]]$, and similarly $(J_mX)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a closed subscheme of J_mX. In particular both $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $(J_mX)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ are separated. Also, it is clear that $(J_mX)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ represents the functor $Y \mapsto \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y \times \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[z]/z^m, X) : f \circ m(q) = \sigma \circ f\}$ and that $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ represents the functor $Y \mapsto \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y \times \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[[z]], X) : f \circ m(q) = \sigma \circ f\}$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ is the direct limit of schemes $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[z]/z^n$, it follows immediately that $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the inverse limit of schemes $(J_mX)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Lemma 4.7. *$X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is covered by open affines $U[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where $U \subset X$ is an open affine such that $\sigma(U) = U$. In particular, $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is reduced.*

A similar lemma holds for twisted jet spaces.

Proof. Since $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a closed subscheme of $X[[z]]$, it is covered by the inverse images of the open subschemes $U[[z]] \subset X[[z]]$, for $U \subset X$ open affine. The inverse image of $U[[z]]$ in $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the same as the inverse image of $\tilde{\sigma}(U[[z]]) = \sigma(U)[[z]]$. Thus the inverse image of $U[[z]]$ in $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the same as in the inverse image of $V[[z]]$, where $V = U \cap \sigma(U) \cap \ldots \cap \sigma^{k-1}(U)$. Now $\sigma(V) = V$ by definition, and V is affine because X is separated. Finally, the pullback of $V[[z]]$ to $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is $V[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

To finish the proof, we just need to show that if X is an affine variety then $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is affine and reduced. We prove this fact by repeating the construction of J_mX. Namely, suppose $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$. Then J_mX is the variety $\{(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in (\mathbb{C}[z]/z^m)^n : f_i(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0, i = 1, \ldots, k\}$. Let $m(q)$ denote the map $\mathbb{C}[z]/z^m \to \mathbb{C}[z]/z^m : z \mapsto qz$.

Choose a lift of σ to $\mathbb{A}^n$, and let $g_i = \sigma^*(x_i)$. Then $(J_m X)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ can be realized as the subvariety of $J_m X$ satisfying the additional constraints $g_i(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = m(q) r_i$. Finally $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is an inverse limit of reduced affine schemes, and hence is reduced and affine. □

We also have the following analogue of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose X and Y have finite-order automorphisms σ_X and σ_Y. If $X \to Y$ is an etale σ-equivariant map then $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} = X^{\sigma} \times_{Y^{\sigma}} Y[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and similarly for jet spaces.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, $X[[z]] \cong X \times_Y Y[[z]]$. The automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}_X$ on $X[[z]]$ translates to the unique automorphism on the latter space which lies above σ_X on X, σ_Y on Y, and $\tilde{\sigma}_Y$ on $Y[[z]]$. The result follows from the functor of points characterisations of the twisted arc space and fibre product. □

There is one more lemma about twisted arc spaces which will come in handy. The proof follows immediately from the definition of tangent and arc spaces via functor of points.

Lemma 4.9. *Let σ_* be the automorphism induced by σ on TX. Then the tangent space of $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is naturally isomorphic to $(TX)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}_*}$, the twisted arc space of the tangent space to X, and similarly for twisted jet spaces.*

4.2. **Diagram automorphisms and the structure of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.** We recall some basic facts about diagram automorphisms and the structure of L, using terminology and basic results from Chapter 9, Section 5 of [Ca05]. Let $\mathfrak{h}_i$ denote the q^ith eigenspace of σ acting on $\mathfrak{h}$. By definition, there is a choice of simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ such that σ permutes the corresponding coroots h_{α_i} and Chevalley generators e_{α_i}. If J is an orbit the σ-action on simple roots, let $\alpha_J = \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{\alpha \in J} \alpha$. Then the set $\{\alpha_J|_{\mathfrak{h}_0} : J \text{ is an orbit of } \sigma\}$ is a set of simple roots for L_0. Restriction to $\mathfrak{h}_0$ gives an isomorphism between the subgroup W^{σ} (where W is the Weyl group of L) and the Weyl group $W(L_0)$ of L_0. The simple generator s_J of $W(L_0)$ given by reflection through α_J on $\mathfrak{h}_0$ corresponds to the element of $W^{\sigma} \subset W(L)$ which is the maximal element in the subgroup of $W(L)$ generated by reflection through the simple roots in J. In addition, we will need:

Lemma 4.10. *If $N(H)$ is the normalizer of H in G, then $N(H)^{\sigma} = N_{G^{\sigma}}(H^{\sigma})$, the normalizer of H^{σ} in G^{σ}. Consequently $W^{\sigma} = N(H)^{\sigma}/H^{\sigma} \subset W(L)$. Furthermore, the inclusion $W(L_0) \cong W^{\sigma} \hookrightarrow W(L)$ is length-preserving, in the sense that if $w \in W^{\sigma}$, then it is possible to get a reduced expression for w by first taking a reduced expression $w = s_{J_1} \cdots s_{J_r}$ for w in $W(L_0)$, and then replacing each s_{J_i} with a reduced expression in $W(L)$.*

Proof. For the first part, let $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the element such that $\alpha(\rho) = 1$ for all simple roots α of L. Then ρ is regular in $\mathfrak{h}$ and belongs to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Any element of $N_{G^{\sigma}}(H^{\sigma})$ sends ρ to another regular element of $\mathfrak{h}$, and hence belongs to $N(H)$.

For the second part, we we refer to the proof of Proposition 9.17 of [Ca05]. □

We can use Lemma 4.10 to prove:

Lemma 4.11. *Choose a Borel subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of G containing H and compatible with σ and let $X = \overline{B}B$ be the big cell of the corresponding Bruhat decomposition. If $x \in G$ belongs to a Bruhat cell BwB with $w \in W^{\sigma}$ then there is $g \in N(H)^{\sigma}$ such that $gx \in X$.*

Proof. If we take for g a representative of w^{-1} in $N(H)^{\sigma}$, then it is not hard to prove that $gBwB \subset \overline{B}B$. □

Proposition 4.12. *G^σ is connected.*

Proof. The connected component $(G^\sigma)^\circ$ of G^σ is a connected reductive group with Lie algebra L_0. Since σ permutes coroots, it is easy to see that H^σ is a connected torus, and in fact is a Cartan in $(G^\sigma)^\circ$. As in Lemma 4.11, let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Borel subgroup of G containing H and compatible with σ, and let X be the corresponding big cell. If $g \in G^\sigma$ belongs to a Bruhat cell BwB then $g \in BwB \cap \sigma(BwB)$, so $w \in W^\sigma$. By Lemma 4.10, every element of $N(H)^\sigma$ can be implemented by an element of $(G^\sigma)^\circ$. So by Lemma 4.11, we just need to prove that $G^\sigma \cap X$ is contained in $(G^\sigma)^\circ$.

Now as an algebraic variety, $X \cong \overline{U} \times H \times U$, where U is the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{B}$. The action of σ on X translates to the action of σ on each factor. Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the Lie algebra of U. The exponential map for nilpotent Lie algebras is bijective, so it is easy to see that U^σ is the unipotent subgroup corresponding to the nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}^\sigma$. In particular U^σ is connected, and similarly with $\overline{U}^\sigma$. We conclude that $X^\sigma = G^\sigma \cap X$ is connected. $\square$

Using the fact that the exponential map for pro-nilpotent Lie algebras is bijective, we immediately get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *$G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a connected pro-algebraic group with Lie algebra $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.*

The following proposition will be crucial in the next section, since it proves that $(G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-homogeneous space.

Proposition 4.14. *$(G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \cong G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where the latter space is the pro-group quotient.*

Proof. $G \to G/H$ is an etale-locally trivial principal bundle, so $(G/H)[[z]] \cong G[[z]]/H[[z]]$. Define $\alpha : G[[z]] \to G[[z]]$ by $g \mapsto g^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(g)$. There is an inclusion $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \hookrightarrow (G[[z]]/H[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and to show this inclusion is surjective we need to show that every element of $(G[[z]]/H[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ has a representative $x \in G[[z]]$ such that $\alpha(x) = e$. Biregularity will follow from bijectivity because $(G[[z]]/H[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ will then be a homogeneous space.[4]

Now α has a number of nice properties. First, the fibres of α are left $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-cosets. Second, $g \in G$ represents an element of $(G[[z]]/H[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ if and only if $\alpha(g) \in H[[z]]$. Third, if $\alpha(g) \in H[[z]]$ and $h \in H[[z]]$ then $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h)$. By these last two properties, we will have $(G[[z]]/H[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} = G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ if and only if $\alpha(G) \cap H = \alpha(H)$.

Our proof depends on the Bruhat geometry of G, so pick a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ compatible with σ. Let $X = \overline{B}B$ be the big cell. Suppose $x \in G[[z]]$ and $\alpha(x) \in H[[z]]$. Writing $x(0) = b_0 w b_1$, we get $\alpha(x(0)) = b_1^{-1}w^{-1}\alpha(b_0)\sigma(w)\sigma(b_1) \in H$. But $\alpha(b_0) \in B$, so $wB \cap B\sigma(w)B \neq \emptyset$, and thus w belongs to W^σ. Consequently there is $g_0 \in G^\sigma$ such that $g_0 x(0) \in X$, implying that $g_0 x \in X[[z]]$. Since $\alpha(x) = \alpha(g_0 x)$ for $g_0 \in G^\sigma$, we just need to show that $\alpha(X[[z]]) \cap H[[z]]$ is contained in $\alpha(H[[z]])$.

The space X is isomorphic to $\overline{U} \times B$ via the multiplication map, where $\overline{U}$ is the unipotent subgroup of $\overline{B}$. Thus we can write any element of $X[[z]]$ uniquely as $a(z)b(z)$, where $a(z) \in \overline{U}[[z]]$ and $b(z) \in B[[z]]$. Suppose $\alpha(a(z)b(z)) = h(z) \in H[[z]]$. Since $\alpha(a(z)b(z)) = b(z)^{-1}\alpha(a(z))\tilde{\sigma}(b(z))$, we see that $\alpha(a(z)) = b(z)h(z)\tilde{\sigma}(b(z))^{-1} \in B[[z]]$. Since $\alpha(a(z)) \in \overline{U}[[z]]$, this implies that $\alpha(a(z)) = e$ and consequently $\alpha(b(z)) = h(z)$. To finish the proof, observe that $B \cong U \times H$ via the multiplication map, where U is the unipotent subgroup of B. Writing $b(z) = b'(z)h'(z)$ for $b'(z) \in U[[z]]$ and $h'(z) \in H[[z]]$, we get $\alpha(b(z)) = h'(z)^{-1}\alpha(b'(z))\tilde{\sigma}(h'(z))$, and hence $\alpha(b'(z))$ can be written as an element of $H[[z]]$. This implies that $\alpha(b'(z)) = e$, finishing the proof, since $\alpha(h'(z)) = h(z)$. □

---

[4] Alternatively, it is possible to avoid working with infinite-dimensional varieties by repeating the proof for each respective jet space J_m.

4.3. **The regular semisimple slice.** Let $L^{rs} \subset L$ be the subset of regular semisimple elements. L^{rs} is an affine open subset of L (its complement is the vanishing set of a single G-invariant function) and consequently the image of L^{rs} in $Q = L//G$ is open. The regular semisimple slice theorem states that there is a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/H \times_W \mathfrak{h}^r \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L^{rs} \arrow[d] \\ \mathfrak{h}^r/W \arrow[r] & Q^r \end{tikzcd} , \tag{8}$$

where W is the Weyl group of L and $\mathfrak{h}^r$ is the set of regular elements in $\mathfrak{h}$. The notation $G/H \times_W \mathfrak{h}^r$ denotes the quotient of $G/H \times \mathfrak{h}^r$ under the free action of $W = N(H)/H$ acting by right multiplication on G/H and by the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{h}^r$. Both horizontal maps are isomorphisms. The top horizontal map is given by multiplication, while the bottom horizontal map is given by restriction to $\mathfrak{h}$.

Applying the arc space functor to the above diagram gives a slice theorem for $L^{rs}[[z]]$. The purpose of this section is to extend this slice theorem to arbitrary parahorics. We start by observing that there is a similar slice theorem for parabolics. Recall that the parahoric $\mathfrak{p} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}[z] : x(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0\}$ for some parabolic $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset L_0$. The image R^{rs} of $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{rs}$ in R is open, since it's complement is the zero set of a single $\mathcal{P}_0$-invariant function. The following lemma is likely well-known; we give a proof using ideas that will apply in the parahoric case.

Lemma 4.15. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}_0/H^\sigma \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}_0^r \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{rs} \arrow[d] \\ \mathfrak{h}_0^r/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \arrow[r] & R^{rs} \end{tikzcd}$$

in which both horizontal maps are isomorphisms. The top horizontal map is induced by multiplication, while the bottom horizontal map is induced by the projection $\mathfrak{h}_0 \to R$.

Proof. That the bottom map is an isomorphism comes from Lemma 4.1.

The Weyl groups of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and L_0 can be expressed in terms of $\mathcal{M}$ and G^σ as $W(\mathfrak{g}_0) = N_{\mathcal{M}}(H^\sigma \cap \mathcal{M})/(H^\sigma \cap \mathcal{M})$ and $W(L_0) = N_{G^\sigma}(H^\sigma)/H^\sigma$. Using the Bruhat decomposition for G^σ and $\mathcal{M}$ simultaneously, as well as the Levi decomposition for $\mathcal{P}_0$, it is possible to show that $N_{G^\sigma}(H^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{P}_0 \subset N_{\mathcal{M}}(H^\sigma \cap \mathcal{M})$. The resulting inclusion $N_{G^\sigma}(H^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{P}_0/H^\sigma \subset N_{\mathcal{M}}(H^\sigma \cap \mathcal{M})/H^\sigma \cap \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism.

Now the commutative diagram in Equation (8) can be extended by adding the commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{P}_0/H^\sigma \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}_0^r \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{rs} \arrow[d] \\ G^\sigma/H^\sigma \times_{W(L_0)} \mathfrak{h}_0^r \arrow[r] & L_0^{rs} \end{tikzcd} , \tag{9}$$

in which the vertical maps are the natural inclusions. To show that the left vertical map is injective take two elements $([p], x)$ and $([p'], x')$ which are equal in the codomain. This means that there is $w \in N_{G^\sigma}(H^\sigma)$ with $[pw^{-1}] = [p']$ and $wx_0 = x_0'$. The former condition implies that $w \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cap N_G(H)$, so $[w] \in W(L_0)$ represents an element of $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, and $([p], x) = ([p'], x')$ in $\mathcal{P}_0/H_0 \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}_0^r$.

Since the bottom map of Equation (9) is an isomorphism, we just need to show that $\mathcal{P}_0/H^\sigma \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}_0^r$ maps onto $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{rs}$. Suppose $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ is semisimple in L_0. Since diagonalizability is preserved by restriction to an invariant subspace and by descent to a quotient by an invariant subspace, we can write $x = x_0 + x_1$, where x_0 is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ and $x_1 \in \mathfrak{u}_0$. Conjugating x_0 by an element of the Levi factor $\mathcal{M}$ to be in $\mathfrak{h}_0$, we can assume that $x \in \mathfrak{b}_0$, a Borel subalgebra of L_0 contained in $\mathfrak{p}_0$. Thus the problem is reduced to showing that $\mathfrak{b}_0 \cap L_0^{rs} \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \mathfrak{h}_0^r$. Given x in the former set, take $g \in G^\sigma$ such that $gx = y \in \mathfrak{h}_0^r$. Then $\mathfrak{b}_0$ and $g\,\mathfrak{b}_0$ both contain $\mathfrak{h}_0$, so there is $w \in N_{G^\sigma}(H^\sigma)$ such that $w\,\mathfrak{b}_0 = g\,\mathfrak{b}_0$. Since Borel's are self-normalizing, $g^{-1}w \in B_0$ and $x = (g^{-1}w)(w^{-1}y) \in B_0 \mathfrak{h}_0^r$. ☐

We need two facts about diagram automorphisms and the structure of L. We use the convention from the last subsection to get simple roots $\{\alpha_J\}$ of L_0 from simple roots $\{\alpha\}$ of L.

Lemma 4.16. *The sets L^{rs} and $\mathfrak{h}^r$ are fixed by σ. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}^r = \mathfrak{h}_0^r$, the set of elements in $\mathfrak{h}_0$ which are regular in L_0. Similarly, $L_0 \cap L^{rs} = L_0^{rs}$.*

Proof. That L^{rs} and $\mathfrak{h}^r$ are preserved by σ follows simply from the fact that σ is an automorphism. Now, the restriction map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}_0^*$ sends roots of L to positive multiples of roots of L_0 by Proposition 9.18 of [Ca05]. All the roots of L_0 are covered by this map, so $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}^r = \mathfrak{h}_0^r$. An element $x \in L_0$ is semisimple in L_0 if and only if it is semisimple in L. If it is semisimple in L_0 then it can be conjugated to an element of $\mathfrak{h}_0$, so the statement for L_0 follows from the statement for $\mathfrak{h}_0$. ☐

Lemma 4.17. *There is a parabolic $\mathfrak{p}'$ of L preserved by σ such that $\mathfrak{p}' \cap L_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0$. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is the standard reductive factor of $\mathfrak{p}'$ then $\mathfrak{m} \cap L_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, the reductive factor of $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and $W(\mathfrak{m})^\sigma = W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, where both are regarded as subgroups of $W(L)$.*

Proof. Let S be the subset of simple roots $\{\alpha_J\}$ determining $\mathfrak{p}_0$ and let S' be the subset of simple roots of L which appear in some σ-orbit J for $\alpha_J \in S$. Let $\mathfrak{p}'$ be the parabolic subalgebra determined by S'. Clearly $\mathfrak{p}'$ is σ-invariant. By Lemma 4.10, an element $w \in W^\sigma$ belongs to $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ if and only if it has a reduced expression consisting of reflections through simple roots in S', which is exactly the condition that w belongs to $W(\mathfrak{m})$. If α_J is a simple root of L_0, then the corresponding positive Chevalley generator e_J is a linear combination of the positive Chevalley generators corresponding to the simple roots of L in J, and similarly for the negative Chevalley generator f_J. Since $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is generated as a Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{h}_0$, all the e_J's, and the f_J's such that $\alpha_J \in S$, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}' \cap L_0$. Since the f_J's with $\alpha_J \in S$ are the only negative generators in $\mathfrak{p}' \cap L_0$, and $\mathfrak{p}' \cap L_0$ is a parabolic subalgebra of L_0, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}' \cap L_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0$. Similarly $\mathfrak{m} \cap L_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$. ☐

The real form $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is the real subspace where all roots take real values, or equivalently the real span of the coroots. If $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ let $\operatorname{Re} x$ be the projection of x to $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ under the (real-linear) splitting $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$. Note that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma x = \sigma \operatorname{Re} x$ and $\operatorname{Re} wx = w \operatorname{Re} x$ for all $w \in W$. Let $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the pro-quotient space.

Lemma 4.18. *The map* $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \to R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ *induced by evaluation at zero and the projection* $\mathfrak{h}_0^r \to R^r$ *as well as the projection* $\mathfrak{h}^r \to Q^r$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}'$ be the parabolic of L over $\mathfrak{p}_0$, as in Lemma 4.17. We start by proving that $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong (\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$, where $W(\mathfrak{m})$ is the Weyl group of the reductive factor of $\mathfrak{p}'$. By Corollary 4.5, $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]/W(\mathfrak{m}) \cong (\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]$, so every element of $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ is represented by an element of $f \in \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]$ such that $w\tilde\sigma(f) = f$ for some $w \in W(\mathfrak{m})$. Let S' be the set of simple roots determining $\mathfrak{p}'$, let Δ' be the set of all roots of $\mathfrak{m}$, and let $D = \{x \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} : \alpha(x) \neq 0, \alpha \in \Delta'\}$. The connected components of D are of the form $C \times \mathbb{R}^r$, where C is an open Weyl chamber of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $r = \dim \mathfrak{h} - |S'|$. Consequently $W(\mathfrak{m})$ acts transitively and freely on the connected components of D, so we can assume that $\operatorname{Re} f(0) \in D_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} : \alpha(x) > 0, \alpha \in S'\}$. But S' is σ-invariant, so D_0 is also σ-invariant, and thus $\operatorname{Re} \sigma f(0) = \sigma \operatorname{Re} f(0) \in D_0$. Since $\operatorname{Re} f(0) = w\sigma \operatorname{Re} f(0)$, this implies that $w = e$ and consequently $f \in \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$. Thus the map $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \to (\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ is surjective. Suppose $f, g \in \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ are equal in $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$. Then there is $w \in W(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $wf = g$. Since $f(0), g(0) \in \mathfrak{h}_0^r$, we have $\sigma(w)f(0) = g(0) = wf(0)$, and consequently $\sigma(w) = w$. Thus f and g are related by an element of $W(\mathfrak{m}) \cap W^\sigma = W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. As with Q, the space $\mathfrak{h}//W(\mathfrak{m})$ is a linear space with σ acting diagonalizably. Consequently $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ is smooth and the bijection $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \to (\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ is an isomorphism.

As a special case of the above argument, we have $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))^\sigma \cong \mathfrak{h}_0^r/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) = R^r$. Consequently $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ maps to R^r via evaluation at zero, and we conclude that the map $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \to R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ factors through the isomorphism to $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}) \to \mathfrak{h}^r/W(L)$ is etale, the space $(\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ is isomorphic to $R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ by Lemma 4.8. ☐

Now we can prove the slice theorem for the parahoric. Recall that $\mathcal{P}$ is the connected subgroup of $G[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ corresponding to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. As usual, $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ denotes the pro-group quotient. Similarly $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ will denote the pro-quotient of $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \times \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ by $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Proposition 4.19. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \arrow[r] & R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the horizontal maps are isomorphisms, with the top map induced by multiplication and the bottom map induced from the two projections $Q^r[[z]] \cong \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]/W(L)$ *and* $R^r = \mathfrak{h}_0^r/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Proof. We have already shown that the bottom map is an isomorphism. For the top map, we first consider the case when $\mathfrak{p}_0 = L_0$, so that $\mathcal{P} = G[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ and $W(\mathfrak{g}_0) = W(L_0)$. Combining Corollary 4.5 (note that H is reductive so that G/H is affine) and the isomorphism $(G/H) \times_W \mathfrak{h}^r \to L^{rs}$, we get an isomorphism $(G/H)[[z]] \times_W \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]] \to L^{rs}[[z]]$, where the former space is the pro-quotient. The automorphism $\tilde\sigma$ on $L^{rs}[[z]]$ translates to the diagonal action on $(G/H)[[z]] \times_{W(L)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]$, and we can show that this isomorphism identifies $L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ with $(G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma} \times_{W(L_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ by a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 4.18. Namely, $([f], g) \in (G/H)[[z]] \times \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]$ represents an element of $L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde\sigma}$ if and only if there is $w \in W(L)$

such that $[\tilde{\sigma}(f)]w^{-1} = [f]$ and $w\tilde{\sigma}(g) = g$. Assuming that $\operatorname{Re} g(0)$ is in the open Weyl chamber we get that $w = e$ and thus $[f] \in (G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, $g \in \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Similarly, any two elements of $(G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]$ with the same image in $L^{rs}[[z]]$ are $W(L_0)$-translates. Finally we can apply Proposition 4.14 to replace $(G/H)[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ with $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Now for the general case we look at the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \arrow[d] \\
G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(L_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \arrow[r] & L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The pro-group quotient $\mathcal{P}[[z]]/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a closed subscheme of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. As in Lemma 4.15, both vertical maps are inclusions and consequently the top horizontal map is injective. Every $x \in L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ can be written as gy for $g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]$. If $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ then $x(0) \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, after which Lemma 4.15 implies that there is $w \in W(L_0)$ such that $g(0)w^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Consequently $gw^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and (gw^{-1}, wy) maps to x, so the top map is surjective as required. □

4.4. **Arcs in the regular locus.** Let L^{reg} denote the open subset of regular elements in L, ie. the set of elements x such that the stabilizer L^x has dimension equal to the rank l of L. Kostant proved that the map $L^{reg} \to Q$ is surjective and smooth [Ko63b]. The point of this section is to prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]] \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ has the same property. We start by proving some simple facts about regular elements in L_0, using Kostant's characterisation of regular elements (Proposition 0.4 of [Ko63b]) in L: if $x = y + z$ is the Jordan decomposition of x, so that y is semisimple, z is nilpotent, and $[y, z] = 0$, then x is regular if and only if z is a principal nilpotent in the reductive subalgebra L^y. Note that, by definition, a nilpotent element of a reductive algebra L is required to be in $[L, L]$, and if z is a nilpotent in L commuting with a semisimple element y, then z is also a nilpotent in L^y.

Lemma 4.20. *$L^{reg} \cap L_0 = L_0^{reg}$, the set of regular elements in L_0.*

Proof. Suppose x in L_0 has Jordan decomposition $x = y + z$ in L. Then $x = y + z$ is also the Jordan decomposition in L_0, and in particular y and z are in L_0. Now by conjugating by an element of G^σ we can assume that $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, and in fact that y is in the closed Weyl chamber corresponding to the Borel $L_0 \cap \mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is the Borel in L compatible with σ. Since the simple roots of L project to positive multiples of the simple roots of L_0, y is also in the closed Weyl chamber of L corresponding to $\mathfrak{b}$. Let S be the set of simple roots α_J for L_0 that are zero on y, and similarly let S' be the set of simple roots for L that are zero on y. Since y is in the closed Weyl chamber, the stabilizer L_0^y (respectively L^y) is the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}[S]} (L_0)_\alpha$ (respectively $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}[S']} L_\alpha$). Now x is regular in L_0 (respectively L) if and only if z is a principal nilpotent in L_0^y (respectively L^y). Every nilpotent element of L_0^y is contained in a Borel, and all Borels are conjugate, so we can conjugate z by an element of $(G^\sigma)^y$ to get z contained in the Borel $L_0^y \cap \mathfrak{b}$ (since it does not have a component in the centre, z will in fact be in the nilpotent radical of $L_0^y \cap \mathfrak{b}$). By Theorem 5.3 of [Ko59], z is a principal nilpotent in L_0^y if and only if the component of z in $(L_0)_\alpha$ is non-zero for all $\alpha \in S$. But by the construction of the simple Chevalley generators of L_0, this is equivalent to the component of z in L_α being non-zero for all $\alpha \in S'$. So z is a principal nilpotent in L_0^y if and only if z is a principal nilpotent in L^y, and hence x is regular in L_0 if and only if x is regular in L. □

A Kostant slice is an affine subspace ν of L of the form $e + L^f$, where $\{h, e, f\}$ is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. If ν is a constant slice then $\nu \subset L^{reg}$ and the composition $\nu \hookrightarrow L^{reg} \to Q$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 4.21. *There is a Kostant slice ν of L which is σ-invariant and such that ν^σ is a Kostant slice for L_0. Consequently $Q^\sigma \cong L_0//G^\sigma$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.20 implies that any principal nilpotent in L_0 is a principal nilpotent in L. Thus any principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\{x, e, f\}$ in L_0 is also a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in L. So $\nu = e + L^f$ is a Kostant slice in L, and $\nu^\sigma = e + L_0^f$ is a Kostant slice in L_0. Since $\nu \to Q$ is a σ-equivariant isomorphism, $\nu^\sigma \to Q^\sigma$ is also an isomorphism. Moreover, the composition $\nu^\sigma \hookrightarrow L_0 \to Q^\sigma$ factors through the isomorphism $\nu^\sigma \hookrightarrow L_0 \to L_0//G^\sigma$, so $L_0//G^\sigma \cong Q^\sigma$. ☐

Now we consider the parabolic component. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_0$, so that $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0$. Then the projection $\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0/\mathfrak{u}_0$ sends $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_0^{reg}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the standard Levi factor of $\mathcal{P}_0$. Note that the projection $\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ is $\mathcal{P}_0$-equivariant, where $\mathcal{P}_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ by the quotient map to $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{b}_0$ be the standard Borel in L_0 containing $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and contained in $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and let Π be the corresponding set of roots. Finally, if $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ let $\overline{x}$ be the image in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. We break the proof up into several steps.

First we show that the lemma is true if $x = e$ is a principal nilpotent in L_0. To prove this, observe that $\mathrm{ad}(e) = \mathrm{ad}(\overline{e})$ acts nilpotently on $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Hence there is $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $m\overline{e}$ is contained in the Borel $\mathfrak{b}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ preserves $\mathfrak{u}_0$, me is contained in $\mathfrak{b}_0$. Since me is nilpotent in L, me is contained in the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{b}_0$, and hence so is $m\overline{e}$. In particular, $m\overline{e}$ is a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The set $\Pi' \subset \Pi$ of simple roots determining $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is the same as the set of simple roots whose root vectors lie in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Since me is a principal nilpotent, the projection of me onto $(L_0)_\alpha$ is non-zero for all $\alpha \in \Pi$, and hence the projection of $\overline{me} = m\overline{e}$ onto $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_\alpha$ is non-zero for all $\alpha \in \Pi'$.

Next we claim that if $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ is semisimple in L_0 then there is $g \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that gx belongs to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. To prove this, write $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ as $x_1 + x_2$ for $x_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $x_2 \in \mathfrak{u}_0$. Now x acts semisimply on $\mathfrak{p}_0/\mathfrak{u}_0$, so x_1 is semisimple in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and hence we can assume (after conjugation by an element of $\mathcal{M}$) that $x_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_0$. Give $\mathfrak{u}_0$ the principal grading, and observe that $\mathfrak{u}_0 = [\mathfrak{u}_0, x_1] \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0^{x_1}$ with respect to this grading. Write $x_2 = [y, x_1] + x_2' + r$, where y and x_2' are homogeneous elements of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ with degree one, $x_2' \in \mathfrak{u}_0^{x_1}$, and r lies in degrees greater than one. Then $\exp(-y)x = x_1 + x_2' + r'$, where again r' lies in degrees greater than one. We can repeatedly iterate this procedure with y of increasing degree to get n in the connected subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{u}_0$ such that $nx = x_1 + x_2''$ for some $x_2'' \in \mathfrak{u}_0^{x_1}$. But if x is semisimple then uniqueness of Jordan decomposition implies that $x_2'' = 0$, finishing the proof.

Now we can put the previous two steps together. If $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ then $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of the reductive Lie algebra L_0^y, and $\mathfrak{b}_0^y$ is a Borel subalgebra (see, e.g., Proposition 11.15 of [Bo91]). Given $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$, take Jordan decomposition $x = y + z$, where $y, z \in \mathfrak{p}_0$. Since the projection is $\mathcal{P}_0$-equivariant, we can assume that $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$. Then z is a principal nilpotent in $\mathfrak{p}_0^y$, which is in turn a parabolic subalgebra of the reductive subalgebra L_0^y, since it contains $\mathfrak{b}_0^y$. Since $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ we have $\mathfrak{p}_0^y = \mathfrak{g}_0^y \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0^y$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0^y$ is reductive, while $\mathfrak{u}_0^y$ is the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_0^y$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_0^y \cong \mathfrak{p}_0^y/\mathfrak{u}_0^y$, so the projection of z to $\mathfrak{g}_0^y = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\overline{y}}$ is a principal nilpotent, finishing the first part of the proof.

Conversely given $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{reg}$ we want to show that there is $x' \in L_0^{reg}$ such that $\overline{x'} = x$. We can assume that x has Jordan decomposition $y+z$ where $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and z is a principal nilpotent in $\mathfrak{g}_0^y$. If z' is a principal nilpotent in L_0^y then the projection $\overline{z'}$ of z' to $\mathfrak{g}_0^y$ is principal, so there is $m \in \mathcal{M}^y$ such that $\overline{mz'} = m\overline{z'} = z$. We conclude that $x' = y + mz'$ is regular in L_0 and projects to x as required. ☐

Corollary 4.23. *The projection $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg} \to R$ is surjective and smooth.*

Proof. The projection $\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ is smooth, as is the projection $\mathfrak{g}_0^{reg} \to R$. ☐

We need one more lemma. Recall that the map $L_0^{reg} \to L_0//G^\sigma = Q^\sigma$ is not only surjective and smooth, but also a G^σ-orbit map. Equivalently, if ν_0 is a Kostant slice in L_0^{reg} then every G^σ-orbit in L_0^{reg} intersects ν_0 in exactly one point.

Lemma 4.24. *Let ν_0 be a Kostant slice in L_0. The multiplication map $G^\sigma \times \nu_0 \to L_0^{reg}$ is smooth and surjective.*

Proof. $G^\sigma \times \nu_0 \to L_0^{reg}$ is surjective, so we just need to show that $G^\sigma \times \nu_0 \to L_0^{reg}$ is a submersion. The projection $L_0^{reg} \to Q^\sigma$ is smooth and the fibres are smooth G^σ-orbits. If $x \in \nu_0$ then $T_x L_0^{reg}$ is a direct sum of the tangent space to x in ν_0 and the tangent space of the fibre (note that a smooth closed subvariety of a smooth variety is an embedded submanifold in the analytic category). ☐

Corollary 4.25. *The map $TL_0^{reg} \to TQ^\sigma$ is a TG^σ-orbit map.*

Proof. Obviously TG^σ is an algebraic group acting on TL_0^{reg} such that the map $TL_0^{reg} \to TQ^\sigma$ is TG^σ-equivariant. Furthermore the composition $T\nu_0 \hookrightarrow TL_0^{reg} \to TQ^\sigma$ is an isomorphism. Hence we just need to show that every TG^σ-orbit in TL_0^{reg} meets $T\nu_0$ in some point. In other words, we need to show that the map $TG^\sigma \times T\nu_0 \to TL_0^{reg}$ is surjective, but this is equivalent to $G^\sigma \times \nu_0 \to L_0^{reg}$ being a smooth surjection. ☐

Now we can state and prove the main proposition of this section.

Proposition 4.26. *The map $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth and surjective.*

Proof. As a variety, $Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} = Q^\sigma \times (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where both spaces have a linear structure. Consequently $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \cong R \times (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth. So we just need to show that the induced map on tangent spaces is surjective. A point $y \in T(R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}})$ corresponds to points $y_1 \in TR$ and $y_2 \in TQ[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ that agree in TQ^σ. By Corollary 4.23 the map $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg} \to R$ is surjective and smooth, so there is $x_1 \in T\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$ mapping to y_1. Let ν be the σ-invariant Kostant slice from Corollary 4.21. The composition $\nu \hookrightarrow L^{reg} \to Q$ is a σ-equivariant isomorphism, so the induced composition $\nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \hookrightarrow L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is also an isomorphism. Hence there is $x_2 \in TL^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ mapping to y_2 in $TQ[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Now $x_2(0)$ and x_1 have the same image in TQ^σ, so by Lemma 4.25 there is $g \in TG^\sigma$ such that $gx_2(0) = x_1$. But $TG^\sigma \subset TG[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ acts on $TL^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and the map $TL^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to TQ[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is $TG[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-invariant, so $gx_2 \in TL^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ lies above x_1 and maps to y_2. Since $gx_2(0) \in T\mathfrak{p}_0$, gx_2 actually belongs to $T\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, and hence is the desired point mapping to $y \in T(R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}})$. ☐

Remark 4.27. *Suppose in the above proof that $y_1 \in TR$ is a zero tangent vector, ie. is represented by a constant curve. Then we can pick $x_1 \in T\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$ to be a zero tangent vector, so the resulting point gx_2 will actually belong to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \times (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, which in turn is a subbundle of $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. This will be important in the next subsection.*

We round out the section by proving Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Pick a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\{h, e, f\}$ in L_0. We need to show that the eigenvalues of $h/2$ on L_a^e agree with the subset of the exponents defined in Definition 2.1. Let $L = \bigoplus L^{(i)}$ denote the principal grading of L induced by the eigenspace decomposition of $h/2$. Then $m \geq 0$ appears in the list of exponents of L with multiplicity $\dim \left(L^{(i)}\right)^e$.

Let ν denote the Kostant slice $f + L^e$. As previously mentioned, Kostant's theorem states that the restriction map $\mathbb{C}[Q] \to \mathbb{C}[\nu]$ is an isomorphism. Actually, a stronger statement is true. Identity $\mathbb{C}[\nu]$ with polynomials on L^e in the obvious way. Filter $\mathbb{C}[\nu]$ by setting $\mathbb{C}[\nu]_m$ to be the subring of polynomials on $\bigoplus_{i=0}^m \left(L^{(i)}\right)^e$. Choose homogeneous generators for $\mathbb{C}[Q] = (S^*L^*)^G$ and let $\mathbb{C}[Q]_m$ be the subring generated by generators of degree at most $m+1$. Then, by Theorem 7, page 381 of [Ko63b], the restriction map gives an isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}[Q]_m$ and $\mathbb{C}[\nu]_m$. Furthermore, if I is a generator of degree $m+1$ then the restriction of I to ν takes the form $f + I_0$ where f is in the dual space of $\left(L^{(m)}\right)^e$ and $I_0 \in \mathbb{C}[\nu]_{m-1}$ does not have constant term.

The automorphism σ acts on both $\mathbb{C}[\nu]$ and $\mathbb{C}[Q]$, preserving the filtration in both cases, and the restriction map is σ-equivariant. As before, let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the ideal in $(S^*L^*)^G$ containing all elements of degree greater than zero, so that $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^2$ is the space of generators. By definition, the multiplicity of m as an exponent is the multiplicity of q^{-a} as an eigenvalue of σ acting on the degree $m+1$ subspace of $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^2$. By the previous paragraph, this is equal to the multiplicity of q^{-a} as an eigenvalue of σ acting on the dual space of $\left(L^{(m)}\right)^e$, or equivalently the dimension of q^a as an eigenvalue of σ acting on $\left(L^{(m)}\right)^e$ itself. ☐

4.5. **The ring of basic forms.**

The following definition will help explain the notation used in this section.

Definition 4.28. *Suppose X is a variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with finite-order automorphism σ. Let $T_{const}X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ denote the pullback $X^\sigma \times_{TX^\sigma} TX[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ where $TX[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to TX^\sigma$ is the differential of the projection $X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to X^\sigma$ and $X^\sigma \to TX^\sigma$ is the zero section. Intuitively $T_{const}X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the space of infinitesimal families of arcs which are constant at $z = 0$.*

If X has a linear structure and σ is a diagonalizable linear map, $T_{const}X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \cong X[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times (zX[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. In particular, T_{const} is a subbundle of T. In this case, T^*_{const} will denote the dual bundle, and Ω^*_{const} will denote the ring of sections of $\bigwedge^* T^*_{const}$.

Let $R \times_{Q^\sigma} T^*_{const}Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ denote the bundle over $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Extending notation slightly, let $\Omega^*_{const}R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ denote the sections of $\bigwedge^* R \times_{Q^\sigma} T^*_{const}Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Lemma 4.29. *The differential of the map $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ induces a bundle map $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} T_{const}Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Over $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ the bundle map is surjective on fibres. Pullback by the dual of this bundle map gives an injection from $\Omega^*_{const}R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. $R \times_{Q^\sigma} T_{const}Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is isomorphic to the pullback $R \times_{TQ^\sigma} TQ[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where the map $R \to TQ^\sigma$ is the composition of the zero section $R \to TR$ with the differential $TR \to TQ^\sigma$. Thus we just need to show that the restriction of the differential $T\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to TR$ to $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ factors through the zero section $R \to TR$. But tangent vectors in $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ are represented by curves $x + ty$ where $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{u}}$, while the projection $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to R$ factors through $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{p}}/\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$, so $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \to TR$ factors through the zero section as required.

The bundle map is surjective on fibres over $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ by Remark 4.27 to Proposition 4.26. Since the projection $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is surjective, the pullback map is injective. ☐

Lemma 4.30. *The pullback map of Lemma 4.29 gives an isomorphism from* $\Omega^*_{const}R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ *to the algebra of* $\mathcal{P}$*-invariant* $\mathcal{N}$*-basic elements of* $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{rs}$ denote the open subset $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{rs}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 4.19, $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{rs}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. By Proposition 4.4,

$$T\left(\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right) \cong T\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}.$$

Also note that $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to the connected reductive subgroup of $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. We work for a moment in the analytic category. Suppose γ_t is a curve in $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{rs}$ representing an element of $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, so that the image $\overline{\gamma_t}$ of γ_t in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is constant. There are curves α_t and β_t in $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ respectively such that $\alpha_t\beta_t = \gamma_t$. Let $\overline{\alpha_t}$ denote the image of $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N}$. Then $\overline{\gamma_t} = \overline{\alpha_t}\beta_t(0)$ is a constant curve in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, so $\overline{\alpha_0}^{-1}\overline{\alpha_t}\beta_t(0)$ is a constant curve in $\mathfrak{h}_0^r$. This implies that $\overline{\alpha_0}^{-1}\overline{\alpha_t} \in wH^\sigma$ for some $w \in N(H)^\sigma$, from which we can conclude that $\overline{\alpha_0}^{-1}\overline{\alpha_t}\beta_t(0) = w\beta_t(0)$, so $\beta_t(0)$ is constant, and hence represents an element of $T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Since $w^{-1}\overline{\alpha_0}^{-1}\overline{\alpha_t} \in H^\sigma$, the curves α_t and $\alpha_t\overline{\alpha_t}^{-1}\overline{\alpha_0}w$ are equal in $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. The latter curve projects to a constant curve in $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N}$, and since $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N} \ltimes \mathcal{N}$, is tangent to a left $\mathcal{N}$-coset in $\mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is normal, every left $\mathcal{N}$-coset is a right $\mathcal{N}$-coset. We conclude that over $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{rs}$, $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to the subbundle $T_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ of $T\left(\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right)$, where $T_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the subbundle of tangents to $\mathcal{N}$-orbits.

Recall from Lemma 4.18 that $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong (\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, so we can talk about $T_{const}\left(\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)\right)$. By Proposition 4.4 again, $(T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}})/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong T(\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0))$, so $T_{const}(\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ is a subbundle of $(T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}})/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. A tangent vector $v \in T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ represents an element of $T_{const}(\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ if and only if the projection of $v(0)$ to $\mathfrak{h}_0^r/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong (\mathfrak{h}^r/W(\mathfrak{m}))^\sigma$ is a zero tangent vector, where $v(0)$ is the image of v in $T\mathfrak{h}_0^r$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_0^r \to \mathfrak{h}_0^r/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is etale, this is true if and only if $v(0)$ is a zero tangent vector, so $T_{const}(\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)) \cong \left(T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right)/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Similarly the isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ sends $T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ to $R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} T_{const}Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.29). Applying Proposition 4.19, we just need to show that the bundle map

$$T_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$$

induced by projection on the second factor gives an isomorphism from $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ to the ring of $\mathcal{P}$-invariant $\mathcal{N}$-basic sections of $\bigwedge^* T^*_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

By pulling back to $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, we can identify the ring of $\mathcal{P}$-invariant $\mathcal{N}$-basic sections of $\bigwedge^* T^*_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ with a subring of the $\mathcal{P}$-invariant $\mathcal{N}$-basic sections of $\bigwedge^* T_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times T^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. This latter ring is isomorphic to the ring $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ by pullback via projection on the second factor. An element of $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ descends to a section over $\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ if and only if it is $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$-equivariant. The splitting $T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} = \mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus T_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ allows us to identify the $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$-module $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ with the subalgebra of differential forms which vanish on $\mathfrak{h}_0^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \mathfrak{h}_0$.[5] There is a similar splitting for $T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, and thus a similar identification for $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. The differential $T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to T\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ preserves this splitting, so the pullback map $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0) \to \Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ agrees with the pullback map on differential forms. Thus pullback gives an isomorphism from $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}/W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ to the $W(\mathfrak{g}_0)$-equivariant elements of $\Omega^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ (see, e.g., Theorem 1 of [Br98]), and this implies that all $\mathcal{P}$-invariant

---

[5] In contrast, there is no such identification for the $\mathcal{P}$-module $\Omega_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

$\mathcal{N}$-basic sections of $\bigwedge^* T^*_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}/H[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times_{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)} T^*_{const}\mathfrak{h}^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ come from pullback on the second factor. $\square$

Proposition 4.31. *The pullback map of Lemma 4.29 gives an isomorphism from the algebra* $\Omega^*_{const} R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ *to the algebra of* $\mathcal{P}$*-invariant* $\mathcal{N}$*-basic elements of* $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg} = \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and let ϕ denote the map $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. By Proposition 4.26, ϕ is smooth and surjective. Hence if f is a regular function defined on an open dense subset of $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $\phi^* f$ extends to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg}$, then f has a unique extension to $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-invariant and $\mathcal{N}$-basic. Then there is $\alpha \in \Omega^*_{const} R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $\phi^*\alpha = \omega$ over $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{rs}$. We can write $\alpha = \sum f_i \alpha_i$, where the α_i's are elements of $\Omega^*_{const} R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ which are linearly independent in fibres, and the f_i's are functions on $R^r \times_{Q^\sigma} Q^r[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Since the bundle map is surjective on fibres, the pullbacks $\phi^*\alpha_i$ are linearly independent in fibres. Since $\phi^*\alpha = \sum_i \phi^* f_i \phi^* \alpha_i$ extends to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg}$, the functions $\phi^* f_i$ must extend to $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg}$, and consequently α extends to $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. The pullback $\phi^*\alpha$ agrees with ω on an open dense subset, so every $\mathcal{P}$-invariant $\mathcal{N}$-basic element of $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^{reg}$ is the pullback of an element of $\Omega^*_{const} R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ as desired. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let I_i^a and R_i be generators for $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ and $\mathbb{C}[R]$ as in the statement of Theorem 2.5. Choose coordinates $\{y_{ia}\}$ for Q such that pullback of y_{ia} via the projection $L \to Q$ is I_i^a. Similarly, choose coordinates $\{r_i\}$ for R such that the pullback of r_i via the projection $\mathfrak{p}_0 \to R$ is R_i. Note that the coordinates $\{y_{ia}\}$ with a fixed correspond to the subspace Q_a of Q on which σ acts as multiplication by q^a (by previously established convention, this means that $\sigma y_{ia} = q^{-a} y_{ia}$). Consider the r_i's as functions on $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and let $\tilde{y}_{ia}$ denote the induced map $Q[[z]] \to Q$. Then the coordinate ring of $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the free ring generated by the r_i's and the functions $[z^{nk-a}]\tilde{y}_{i,-a}$ for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $n \geq 1$. Consequently the ring $\Omega^*_{const} R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the free super-commutative ring generated by the above generators for the coordinate ring, along with the restrictions of the differential forms $d[z^{nk-a}]\tilde{y}_{i,-a}$, $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $n \geq 1$. Applying Proposition 4.31 we conclude that $\Omega^*_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the free super-commutative algebra generated by the R_i's, the functions $[z^{nk-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{-a}$ for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $n \geq 1$, and the restrictions of the 1-forms $d[z^{kn-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{-a}$ to $T_{>0}\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, again for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$ and $n \geq 1$. Theorem 2.5 then follows from Theorem 3.3. $\square$

5. Spectral sequence argument for the truncated algebra

In this section we finish the proof of Theorem 2.8 using a spectral sequence argument. Recall from section 3 the definition of the operators $d_R(S)$ and $d_L(T)$ on $\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. It is not hard to prove:

Lemma 5.1. $d_R(S)d_L(T) + d_L(T)d_R(S) = (ST)^{Sym} + (TS)^\wedge$*, where* $(ST)^{Sym}$ *is the extension of* ST *to the symmetric factor as a derivation, and* $(TS)^\wedge$ *is the extension of* TS *to the exterior factor as a derivation.*

Let $P : \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \to \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ be the dual of multiplication by z^N on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$. Define $Q : \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \to \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ by $(Qf)(x) = f\left(\frac{\overline{x}}{z^N}\right)$, where $\overline{x}$ is the projection to $z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ using the splitting $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = (z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus (\hat{\mathfrak{p}}/z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})$ suggested by the root grading. Note that $PQ = \mathbb{1}$, while QP is projection to $(z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^*$ using the corresponding splitting of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. Thus $(d_R(P)d_L(Q) + d_L(Q)d_R(P))\omega = (n+q)\omega$

if $\omega \in \bigwedge^*(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}/z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^* \otimes \bigwedge^n(z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^* \otimes S^q\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. It is not hard to show that $d_R(P)^2 = 0$, so we can use the above identity to show that

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^*(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}/z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^* \longrightarrow \bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \xrightarrow{d_R(P)} \bigwedge^{*-1} \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \otimes S^1\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^* \xrightarrow{d_R(P)} \cdots$$

is exact. Further, $d_R(P)$ commutes with the Lie algebra cohomology operator $\bar{\partial}$ with coefficients in $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. Since $d_R(P)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-equivariant and preserves the subset of cochains which vanish on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, we can restrict to the relative cochain complex to get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(\bigwedge^*(\hat{\mathfrak{u}}/z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^*\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0} \longrightarrow K^{*,0} \xrightarrow{d_R(P)} K^{*,1} \xrightarrow{d_R(P)} \cdots,$$

where $K^{*,*}$ is the bigraded algebra $(\bigwedge^* \hat{\mathfrak{u}}^* \otimes S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ graded by tensor (ie. combined exterior and symmetric) degree and symmetric degree, regarded as a bicomplex with differentials $\bar{\partial}$ (the Lie algebra cohomology differential for $\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$ with coefficients in $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$) and $d_R(P)$. Note that both $\bar{\partial}$ and $d_R(P)$ are derivations of the algebra structure.

Lemma 5.2. *Give $K^{*,*}$ a z-grading by taking the usual z-degree for the exterior factor, and z-degree + N on $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ for the symmetric factor. This z-grading descends to $H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$, and there is an isomorphism $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0) \to H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$ which preserves z-degrees.*

Proof. We have just shown that there is a chain map from the Koszul complex for $(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ to $\operatorname{total} K^{*,*}$. Consider the spectral sequence induced by the column-wise filtration of $K^{*,*}$, ie. the descending filtration where the pth level contains all elements of $K^{a,b}$ with $a \geq p$. The E_1-term of this spectral sequence is

$$E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left(\bigwedge^p(\hat{\mathfrak{u}}/z^N\hat{\mathfrak{p}})^*\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0} & q = 0 \\ 0 & q > 0 \end{cases},$$

with differential the restriction of $\bar{\partial}$. Hence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H^p(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0) & q = 0 \\ 0 & q > 0 \end{cases}.$$

It follows from naturality of the spectral sequence that the induced map $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0) \to H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$ is an isomorphism. The z-degrees on $K^{*,*}$ are preserved by $\bar{\partial}$ and $d_R(P)$, so the z-grading descends to homology and likewise is preserved by the isomorphism. □

To calculate $H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$, consider the spectral sequence of the bicomplex $K^{*,*}$ induced by the row-wise filtration, ie. the descending filtration where the pth level contains all elements of $K^{a,b}$ with $b \geq p$. This spectral sequence has $E_1^{p,q} = H_{cts}^{q-p}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^p\hat{\mathfrak{p}})$ with differential $d_R(P)$ (note that the order of the degrees is swapped compared to $K^{*,*}$, so p is symmetric degree and q is tensor degree). Thus $E_1^{*,*}$ is a freely generated differential supercommutative algebra, with generating cocycles explicitly described in Theorem 2.5 as follows. If $r_1, \ldots, r_{l_0}$ is a list of exponents for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ then there is a generator in $E_1^{r_i+1,r_i+1}$ represented by a cocycle R_i. If $m_1^{(-a)}, \ldots, m_{l_{-a}}^{(-a)}$ is a list of twisted exponents then there is a generator in $E_1^{m_i^{(-a)}+1,m_i^{(-a)}+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$, represented by a cocycle $f_i^{nk-a} = [z^{nk-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{(-a)}$, and a generator in $E_1^{m_i^{(-a)},m_i^{(-a)}+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$, represented by a cocycle $\omega_i^{nk-a} = J_\Delta d[z^{nk-a}]\tilde{I}_i^{(-a)}$. Since $d_R(P)$ is a derivation, we just need to determine its action on these generators. By degree considerations, $d_R(P)$ kills the generators R_i and f_i^{nk-a}. Note that $f_i^0 = [z^0]\tilde{I}_i^{(0)}$ lies in $E_1^{*,*}$, as it belongs to the algebra $\mathbb{C}[R]$ generated by the R_i's (see Corollary 4.21). If the reductive algebra L splits as a direct sum $L = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \bigoplus L^i$, where $\mathfrak{z}$ is the centre and the $L^{(i)}$'s are σ-invariant simple components, then we can assume that the generators $I_i^{(-a)}$ of $(S^* L^*)^L$ used to construct the cochains f_i^{nk-a} belong either to $S^* \mathfrak{z}^*$ or to $\left(S^* \left(L^{(i)}\right)^*\right)^L$ for some i. With this assumption we have:

Lemma 5.3. *The differential $d_R(P)$ on $K^{*,*}$ sends ω_i^{nk-a} to a non-zero scalar multiple of f_i^{nk-a-N} if $nk - a \geq N$, and to zero otherwise.*

Proof. The generator ω_i^{nk-a} can be rewritten as $d_L(J) f_i^{nk-a}$. Both $d_R(P)$ and $d_L(J)$ preserve the subalgebras $(S^*(L^{(i)})^*)^L$ and $S^* \mathfrak{z}^*$, so we can assume that L is either simple or abelian. Since $d_R(P) f_i^{nk-a} = 0$, we can use Lemma 5.1 to get $d_R(P) \omega_i^{nk-a} = (PJ)^{Sym} f_i^{nk-a}$. As an element of the dual of $S^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, $(PJ)^{Sym} f_i^{nk-a}$ is defined by

$$x_1 \circ \cdots \circ x_{m_i^{(-a)}+1} \mapsto \sum_j [z^{nk-a}] I_i^{(-a)}(\cdots \circ J z^N x_j \circ \cdots).$$

Suppose L is abelian. Then, as noted after the statement of Theorem 3.3, we can assume that J is the identity, so $(PJ)^{Sym} f_i^{nk-a} = f_i^{nk-a-N}$ as required.

This leaves the case that L is simple, in which case J is defined as the derivation of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ acting on weight spaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ as multiplication by $\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle$, where ρ is the weight of the associated Kac-Moody satisfying $\rho(\alpha_i^\vee) = 1$ if $d_i > 0$ in the grading of type d determining $\mathfrak{p}$, and $\rho(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0$ otherwise. Following the Kac convention in [Ka83], the Kac-Moody associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, where c is central and d acts by $z\frac{d}{dz}$. The roots of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ belong to the dual of the Cartan $\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, and are defined similarly to the roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, with d^* replacing δ. If $\alpha_0 = d^* - \psi, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ is a list of simple roots for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, then the associated coroots are $\alpha_0^\vee = c^* - \psi_0, \alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_l^\vee$, where ψ_0 is either $\psi^\vee$ in the untwisted case, or the element of $\mathfrak{h}_0$ such that $\langle x, \psi_0 \rangle = \psi(x)$ in the twisted case. The standard non-degenerate invariant form $\langle , \rangle$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies $\langle \mathfrak{h}_0, c \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{h}_0, d \rangle = \langle c, c \rangle = \langle d, d \rangle = 0$ and $\langle c, d \rangle \neq 0$.

Write $\rho = \rho_0 + A c^*$ for some ρ_0 in $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$. If $x_j \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ in the above equation then $z^N x_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha + N d^*}$, so $J z^N x_j = z^N (N \langle \rho, d^* \rangle + J) x_j$. Since $\langle \rho, d^* \rangle = A \langle c^*, d^* \rangle$, we have

$$d_R(P) \omega_i^{nk-a} = \begin{cases} \left(NA(m_i^{(-a)} + 1) \langle c^*, d^* \rangle + J^{Sym} \right) f_i^{nk-a-N} & nk > N \\ 0 & nk \leq N \end{cases}.$$

Take a basis $\{x_{\alpha,i}\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and let x_α^i be the dual basis. Then $J^{Sym} x_\alpha^i = \langle \rho, \alpha \rangle x_\alpha^i = (\langle \rho_0, \alpha \rangle + A \langle c^*, \alpha \rangle) x_\alpha^i$. There is $\tilde{\rho}_0 \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ such that $\alpha(\tilde{\rho}_0) = \langle \rho_0, \alpha \rangle$ for all roots α, so $\operatorname{ad}^t(\tilde{\rho}_0) x_\alpha^i = -\langle \rho_0, \alpha \rangle x_\alpha^i$. Hence on the subring of $\mathfrak{h}_0$-invariant functions of $S^* \hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, J^{Sym} agrees with the derivation which sends x_α^i to $A \langle c^*, \alpha \rangle x_\alpha^i$. The product $\langle c^*, \alpha \rangle$ is equal to $\langle c^*, d^* \rangle$ times the z-degree of x_α^i. We conclude that $J^{Sym} f_i^{nk-a-N} = A \langle c^*, d^* \rangle (nk - a - N) f_i^{nk-a-N}$, and consequently that

$$d_R(P) \omega_i^{nk-a} = A \langle c^*, d^* \rangle \left(N(m_i^{(-a)} + 1) + nk - a - N \right) f_i^{nk-a-N}$$

if $N \leq nk - a$. Since $nk - a - N \geq 0$, the coefficient is non-zero as required. $\square$

We now have a situation parallel to when we defined $d_R(P)$. Let V_0 be the free vector space spanned by basis elements v_i^{nk+a} for $n \geq 0$, $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, and $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$. For any integer m, let V_m be the subspace of V_0 spanned by the v_i^{nk+a}'s with $nk + a \geq m$. Identify $\bigwedge^* V_1 \otimes S^* V_0$ with a subalgebra of $E_1^{*,*}$ by sending v_i^{nk+a} to f_i^{nk+a} in the symmetric term and to ω_i^{nk+a} in the exterior term. Let P' be the linear map $V_0 \to V_0$ sending v_i^{nk+a} to v_i^{nk+a-N} if $nk+a \geq N$, and to zero otherwise. Let Q' be the operator $V_0 \mapsto V_1$ sending $v_i^{nk+a} \mapsto v_i^{nk+a+N}$. Then $P'Q' = \mathbb{1}$, while $Q'P'$ is projection to V_N. So $d_R(P')d_L(Q') + d_L(Q')d_R(P')$ acts as multiplication by the combined symmetric degree and exterior V_N-degree. By Lemma 5.3, the differential on $E_1^{*,*}$ restricts to $d_R(P')$ on $\bigwedge^* V_1 \otimes S^*V_0$, and hence the homology of the differential on this subspace is the subalgebra Λ_1 of the E_2-term generated by ω_i^{nk+a}'s with $0 < nk + a < N$. To get the whole E_2-term, recall:

Lemma 5.4. *$(S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is a free $(S^*L_0^*)^{L_0}$-module.*

Proof. Let $S = (S^*\mathfrak{g}_0^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $A = (S^*L_0^*)^{L_0}$. Restriction to the Cartan $\mathfrak{h}_0$ gives isomorphisms $S \cong (S^*\mathfrak{h}_0^*)^{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$ and $A \cong (S^*\mathfrak{h}_0^*)^{W(L_0)}$, so A is a subalgebra of S. By the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem [Ch55] [Ko63b], there is a subset $H_0 \subset S^*\mathfrak{h}_0^*$ such that $S^*\mathfrak{h}_0^* \cong A \otimes H_0$ as a $W(L_0)$-module, where the isomorphism is given by multiplication, and H_0 is isomorphic to the regular representation. Hence $S \cong A \otimes H$ where $H = H_0^{W(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$. □

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]$ generated by the f_i^0's is a subalgebra of $\bigwedge^* V_1 \otimes S^*V_0$. Note that $d_R(P')$ is $\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]$-linear, since it kills $\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]$ and is a derivation. The E_1-term is isomorphic to the base extension $\mathbb{C}[R] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]} \bigwedge^* V_1 \otimes S^*V$, with differential given by the base extension $\mathbb{1} \otimes d_R(P')$ of $d_R(P')$. Freeness implies that the E_2-term is $\mathbb{C}[R] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]} \Lambda_1$. Since the action of $\mathbb{C}[Q^\sigma]$ on Λ_1 sends everything of symmetric degree > 0 to zero, the E_2-term is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Lambda_1$.

Lemma 5.5. *The spectral sequence collapses at the E_2-term. Consequently the graded algebra of $H^*(\text{total}\, K^{*,*})$ with respect to the row-wise filtration is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Lambda_1$.*

Proof. Λ_1 is a free algebra with a generator $\omega_i^{nk-a} \in E_2^{m_i^{(-a)}, m_i^{(-a)}+1}$ for every twisted exponent $m_i^{(-a)}$ of L and n such that $0 < nk - a < N$. The subring $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ lies in bidegrees (a, a), so the entire E_2-term is contained in bidegrees (a, b) with $a \leq b$. Suppose more generally that the E_r-term is contained in bidegrees (a, b) with $a \leq b$, and is generated in bidegrees $(a, a+1)$ and (a, a). The E_2-term differential has bidegree $(2, -1)$, and thus annihilates $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ and the generators ω_i^{nk-a}. The same argument works for higher E_r-terms as well. □

Now we just need to determine the ring structure of $H^*(\text{total}\, K^{*,*})$. The row-wise filtration of $K^{*,*}$ is the descending filtration where $F^P K^{*,*} = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} K^{*,r}$. Likewise $F^p H^*(\text{total}\, K^{*,*})$ is the subspace of homology classes which have a representative cocycle in $F^p K^{*,*}$. If $k \in K^{q,p}$ is such that $\bar{\partial} k = d_R(P)k = 0$, then k determines a homology class $[k]$ in $F^p H^{p+q}(\text{total}\, K^{*,*})$. Referring to the construction of the spectral sequence of a filtered differential module (see, e.g., pages 34-37 in [MC01]), we also see that k determines a persistent element of the spectral sequence, ie. k represents an element in each $E_r^{p,q}$ (once again, note that the degrees are swapped between $K^{*,*}$ and $E^{*,*}$) that is killed by the rth differential, and the homology class of this element corresponds to the element represented by k in $E_{r+1}^{p,q}$. The projection $F^p H^{p+q}(\text{total}\, K^{*,*}) \to E_\infty^{p,q}$ sends $[k]$ to the element represented by k in E_∞. Finally, when $E_1^{p,q}$ is identified with $H^q(K^{*,p}, \bar{\partial})$ the element of E_1 represented by k is simply the homology class represented by k in $H^q(K^{*,p}, \bar{\partial})$, and consequently the same is true of the identification of E_2 with $H^*(H^*(K^{*,*}, \bar{\partial}), d_R(P))$. Note that this would not necessarily be true if k was not homogeneous.

We know that the E_2-term is generated by classes represented by elements R_i, $i = 1, \ldots, l_0$ and ω_i^{nk+a}, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$ and $0 < nk + a < N$ in $K^{*,*}$. Let Λ denote the subalgebra of $K^{*,*}$

generated by the elements ω_i^{nk+a}, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$, $0 < nk+a < N$. By Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 5.3, $\mathbb{C}[R] \otimes \Lambda \subset K^{*,*}$ is annihilated by both $\bar{\partial}$ and $d_R(P)$. Hence there is a homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[R] \otimes \Lambda \to H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$. Since $\bar{\partial}\omega_i^N = 0$, Lemma 5.3 implies that the image of f_i^0 in $H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$ is zero, so the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[R] \otimes \Lambda \to H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$ descends to a map $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Lambda \to H^*(\operatorname{total} K^{*,*})$. By Lemma 5.5 and the argument of the last paragraph, this map is a bijection. We record this calculation in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ denote the algebra of Lemma 5.4, graded by symmetric degree. Give $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ a cohomological grading by doubling the symmetric grading, and a z-grading by multiplying the symmetric grading by N. Then $H^*(\mathfrak{p}/z^N\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Lambda$, where Λ is the free algebra generated in cohomological degree $2m_i^{(a)} + 1$, z-degree $Nm_i^{(a)} + nk + a$, for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$, and n such that $0 < nk + a < N$.*

Consider the untwisted case where $n = 1$. In this case, $\mathfrak{p}/z\mathfrak{p}$ is the semi-direct product $\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$, where $L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$ has Lie bracket equal to zero. Then Proposition 5.6 implies that $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. The following Lemma implies that Proposition 5.6 actually gives a direct Lie algebra proof of Borel's theorem that $\overline{\mathrm{R}}(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. Note that the z-grading on $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is half the cohomological grading, and thus corresponds to the holomorphic grading on $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$ be the semi-direct product where $L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$ has Lie bracket equal to zero. The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$.*

Proof. Let X be the generalized flag variety $G^\sigma/\mathcal{P}_0$, where $\mathcal{P}_0$ is the parabolic subgroup of G^σ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}_0$. The complex-valued de Rham complex of X can be realized as the relative Koszul complex

$$C^*\left(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0; C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})\right) = \left(\bigwedge^{*} (L_0/\mathfrak{g}_0)^* \otimes C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0},$$

where K is a compact form of X. The de Rham differential d translates to the Lie algebra cohomology boundary operator for $(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. Let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_0$. The holomorphic structure on X gives the de Rham complex a bigrading, which can be written in terms of $C^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0; C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$ as

$$C^{p,q}(C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})) = \left(\bigwedge^{p} \overline{\mathfrak{u}_0}^* \otimes \bigwedge^{q} \mathfrak{u}_0^* \otimes C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_0},$$

where p is the holomorphic degree, and q is the anti-holomorphic degree. The differential $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differentials respectively. On $C^{*,*}$, ∂ is the Lie algebra cohomology differential of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}_0}$ with coefficients in $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{u}_0^* \otimes C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is the $\overline{\mathfrak{u}_0}$-module $L_0/\overline{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. Similarly $\bar{\partial}$ is the Lie algebra cohomology differential of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ with coefficients in $\bigwedge^* \overline{\mathfrak{u}_0}^* \otimes C^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$. The Kahler identities then imply that the Laplacian $dd^* + d^*d$ of d with respect to a Kahler metric is equal to twice the Laplacian $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$. In particular the two differentials give the same cohomology.

A theorem of Chevalley-Eilenberg implies that the de Rham complex is quasi-isomorphic to the subcomplex $C(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0; \mathbb{C})$ of equivariant forms [CE48]. Since K acts by holomorphic maps on X, the same is true of the de Rham complex with the anti-holomorphic differential. Hence the Kahler identities imply that the cohomology of $C^*(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0; \mathbb{C})$ is the same with respect to either d or $\bar{\partial}$. Finally $\big(C(L_0, \mathfrak{g}_0; \mathbb{C}), \bar{\partial}\big)$ can be identified with the Koszul complex for the Lie algebra cohomology of $H^*(\mathfrak{p}_0 \ltimes L_0/\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$. $\square$

To finish the section, we observe that if $\mathfrak{p}$ is an Iwahori, then a similar spectral sequence calculation can be made with $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ replaced by $S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}$. In this case the first spectral sequence will converge to $H^*(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{g}_0; S^*\hat{\mathfrak{u}}^*)$, while the E_1-term of the second spectral sequence is the free super-commutative algebra generated by elements $f_i^{nk-a} \in E_1^{m_i^{(-a)}+1, m_i^{(-a)}+1}$ and $\omega_i^{nk-a} \in E^{m_i^{(-a)}, m_i^{(-a)}+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$, $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, and $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$ (see Section 6.2 for the proof). The differential on the E_1-term sends ω_i^{nk-a} to f_i^{nk-a-N} if $nk - a > N$, and to zero otherwise. Thus the E_2-term will be the free algebra generated by the ω_i^{nk-a}'s with $0 < nk - a \leq N$. Since the algebra is free, the isomorphism on graded algebras lifts to give:

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an Iwahori subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the nilpotent subalgebra. Then $H^*(\mathfrak{b}\,/z^N\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}_0)$ is a free algebra generated in cohomological degree $2m_i^{(a)}+1$, z-degree $Nm_i^{(a)} + nk + a$, for $a = 0, \ldots, k-1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l_a$, and n such that $0 < nk + a \leq N$.*

6. COHOMOLOGY WITH COEFFICIENTS IN THE NILPOTENT

In this section we use the same conventions as in Section 4. In particular $\mathfrak{p}$ is a standard parahoric and G is a Lie group with Lie algebra L such that σ lifts to G.

6.1. A Kostant slice theorem.

All the statements and proofs in this section have jet space analogues, but for simplicity we consider arc spaces only. Let ν be a Kostant slice for L. As previously mentioned in Subsection 4.4, ν is contained in L^{reg}, and every G-orbit in L^{reg} intersects ν in exactly one point. Since $\nu \hookrightarrow L^{reg} \to Q = L//G$ is an isomorphism, this is equivalent to the statment that the projection $L^{reg} \to Q$ is a G-orbit map. So far we have shown that $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth and surjective—analogous to Kostant's theorem that $L^{reg} \to Q$ is smooth and surjective—and that there is a σ-invariant Kostant slice ν so that $\nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \hookrightarrow L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism. However, we are missing the statement that every $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-orbit in $L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ intersects $\nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ in a unique point, or equivalently that $L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-orbit map. In this section we show the stronger statement that $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-orbit map.

First we consider the parabolic component.

Lemma 6.1. *The map $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg} \to R$ is an $\mathcal{P}_0$-orbit map. Furthermore, if $g \in G^\sigma$ fixes an element of $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$ then g belongs to $\mathcal{P}_0$.*

Proof. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L^{reg}$ have the same image in R, and write $x_i = y_i + z_i$ for the semisimple decomposition. We can assume as in the proof of Lemma 4.22 that $y_i \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, after which y_1 and y_2 differ by translation by the Weyl group of the Levi component of $\mathfrak{p}_0$. We are left with the two principal nilpotents z_1 and z_2 contained in the parabolic $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{y_1}$ of the reductive algebra $L_0^{y_1}$. So the problem is reduced to showing that two principal nilpotents in $\mathfrak{p}_0$ are conjugate by an element of $\mathcal{P}_0$. But we can obviously conjugate both to be in the same Borel, finishing the proof of the first statement.

For the second statement, we can again assume that $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$ is of the form $y + z$ where $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and z is a principal nilpotent of L_0^y contained in $L_0^y \cap \mathfrak{b}_0$, where $\mathfrak{b}_0$ is a Borel contained in $\mathfrak{p}_0$. We conclude that $L_0^x = (L_0^y)^z$ is contained in $\mathfrak{b}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$. Now by Proposition 14, page 362 of [Ko63b], $(G^\sigma)^x$ is connected, and hence a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_0$. $\square$

Next, consider an analogue of Jordan decomposition in $L[[z]]$. Say that $y \in L[[z]]$ is pro-semisimple (resp. pro-nilpotent) if the image of y in $J_m L$ is semisimple (resp. nilpotent) for every $m \geq 0$. If $x \in L[[z]]$, then the image of x in $J_m L = L[z]/z^m$ decomposes uniquely as $x_m = y_m + z_m$ for y_m semisimple, z_m nilpotent, and $[y_m, z_m] = 0$. If $k < m$ then y_m maps to y_k in $J_k L$, and similarly z_m maps to z_k. Let y and z be the inverse limits of the y_m's and z_m's respectively. Then $x = y + z$, y is pro-semisimple, z is pro-nilpotent, and $[y, z] = 0$. It is not hard to see that this decomposition is unique. If x is in $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ then $\tilde{\sigma}(y)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(z)$ are pro-semisimple and pro-nilpotent respectively, so y and z are also in $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

The same argument that we used in the proof of Lemma 4.22 to show that a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is $\mathcal{P}_0$-conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{h}_0$ can be used to show:

Lemma 6.2. *If $y \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is pro-semisimple then there is $g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $gy \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, the Cartan in L_0.*

Proof. Suppose y is pro-semisimple and y_m is the image of y in $J_m L = L[z]/z^{m+1}$. Since y_0 is semisimple in L_0, there is $g_0 \in G^{\sigma}$ such that $g_0 y_0$ belongs to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Now suppose we have $g_k \in (J_k G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $g_k y_k = y_0 \in J_k L$. The map $(J_{k+1}G)^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (J_k G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a group quotient. In particular it is surjective, so we can find $g_k' \in (J_{k+1}G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ lying above g_k. Then $g_k' y_{k+1} = y_0 + x_k z^{k+1}$ where $x_k z^{k+1} \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Since $y_0 \in \mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$, $L = L^{y_0} \oplus [L, y_0]$. If $x_k \in L_i$ then we can find $x_k' \in L_i$ such that $[x_k', y_0]$ is the component of x_k in $[L, y_0]$. Let $g_{k+1} = \exp(-x_k' z^{k+1}) g_k' \in (J_{k+1}G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, so that $g_{k+1} y_{k+1} = y_0 + x_k'' z^{k+1}$ for $x_k'' \in L^{y_0}$. But $x_k'' z^{k+1}$ is nilpotent, so by uniqueness of Jordan decomposition and the fact that y_{k+1} is semisimple we must have $g_{k+1} y_{k+1} = y_0$. In addition $g_{k+1} = g_k' \left((g_k')^{-1} \exp(-x_k' z^{k+1}) g_k' \right)$ lies above g_k in $(J_k G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, so we can construct a sequence of g_k's whose inverse limit is the required g. ☐

In a reductive Lie algebra, the principal nilpotents form a single orbit. The following lemma provides a somewhat analogous statement for $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\{x, e, f\}$ be a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in L_0. If x is an element of $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $x(0)$ is a principal nilpotent, then there is $g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $gx \in e + (zL^f[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.*

Proof. Since the principal nilpotents in L_0 form a single G^{σ} orbit, we can assume that $x(0) = e$. Now $L = [L, e] \oplus L^f$, so we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. That is, let x_k be the image of x in $J_k L$, and assume we have $g_k \in (J_k G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $g_k x_k = e + a_k$, where $a_k \in (J_k L^f)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $a_k(0) = 0$. Lift g_k to some g_k' in $(J_{k+1}G)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, so that $g_k' x_{k+1} = e + a_{k+1} + y_k z^{k+1}$, where $a_{k+1} \in (J_{k+1} L^f)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $y_k \in [L, e]$. Multiplying $g_k' x_{k+1}$ by $\exp(-y_k' z^{k+1})$ where $[y_k', e] = y_k$ gives $e + z_{k+1}$ as desired. ☐

The preceeding lemmas lead to the main result of this section.

Proposition 6.4. *The map $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to R \times_{Q^{\sigma}} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a surjective $\mathcal{P}$-orbit map.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{p}_0 = L_0$ and σ is trivial, then we just need to show that $G[[z]] \times \nu[[z]] \to L^{reg}[[z]]$ is onto for some Kostant slice ν. This follows immediately from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.24.

Next consider the case that $\mathfrak{p} = L_0$ but σ is non-trivial. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ have the same image in $Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. By Lemma 6.2 we can assume that $x_i = y_i + z_i$, where $y_i \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $z_i \in (L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}})^y = L^y[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is pro-nilpotent. Since $x_1(0)$ and $x_2(0)$ agree in Q^{σ}, we can conjugate by an element of $W(L_0)$ to get $y_1 = y_2 = y$. Since $x_i(0)$ is regular, $z_i(0)$ is a principal nilpotent in L_0^y, and hence by Lemma 6.3 we can conjugate by an element of $G^y[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to get $z_i \in e + \left(zL^{y+f}[[z]]\right)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ for e and f fixed opposite principal nilpotents in L_0^y. By the untwisted case, there is $g \in G[[z]]$ such that $gx_1 = x_2$. Multiplication by

g preserves the Jordan decomposition, so $gy_1 = y_2$ and $gz_1 = z_2$. The subgroup G^y is a connected reductive subgroup of G by Lemma 5, page 353 of [Ko63b]. Using the fact that the exponential map is a bijection for pro-nilpotent groups, it is not hard to see that $G[[z]]^y = G^y \cdot \exp(zL^y[[z]]) = G^y[[z]]$, the connected subgroup of $G[[z]]$ corresponding to $L^y[[z]]$. We can conclude that z_1 and z_2 belong to the same $G^y[[z]]$-orbit in $(L^y)^{reg}[[z]]$. Let $\nu_e = e + L^{y+f}$ be the Kostant slice in L^y corresponding to e. The untwisted case of our argument implies that every $G^y[[z]]$-orbit in $L^{reg}[[z]]$ intersects $\nu_e[[z]]$ in exactly one point. So z_1 and z_2 are equal in $e + \left(zL^{y+f}[[z]]\right)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

For a general parahoric, suppose $x_1, x_2 \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ have the same image in $R \times_{Q^\sigma} Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. By Lemma 6.1 there is $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $px_1(0) = x_2(0)$, while by the previous case there is $g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $gx_1 = x_2$. Then $p^{-1}g(0)$ fixes an element of $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap L_0^{reg}$, so $g(0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_0$, and hence g belongs to $\mathcal{P}$. ☐

6.2. **Consequences of the Kostant slice theorem.** As in the proof of Lemma 4.24, Propositions 4.26 and 6.4 combined imply:

Lemma 6.5. *The multiplication map* $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ *is smooth and surjective.*

The results of Section 4 (in particular the regular semisimple slice theorem and the fact that the map $L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth) imply that pullback via the map $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ gives an isomorphism between algebraic forms on $Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-basic and invariant forms on $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ (see also Proposition 4.31). A simpler proof follows from applying Lemma 6.5 and pulling algebraic forms on $L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ back to $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

In this section, we use a similar idea to calculate the ring of basic and invariant forms on the nilpotent of an Iwahori. Accordingly, let $\mathfrak{b} = \{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in \mathfrak{b}_0\}$ be an Iwahori subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (ie. $\mathfrak{b}_0$ is a Borel in L_0), let $\mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding Iwahori subgroup of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the nilpotent subalgebra. Recall that if e is a principal nilpotent in L_0 then $(G^\sigma)^e$ is a connected subgroup of $\mathcal{B}_0$, the Borel subgroup of G^σ corresponding to $\mathfrak{b}_0$. Consequently the group $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}_e = \{g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : g(0) \in G^e\}$ is the connected subgroup of $\mathcal{B}$ with Lie algebra $\{f \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) \in L_0^e\}$.

Lemma 6.6. *If e is a principal nilpotent in L_0 then the multiplication map*

$$\mathcal{B} \times_{G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}_e} \left(e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right) \to \hat{\mathfrak{n}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Obvious from the fact that $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}$ lies in the regular locus if and only if $f(0)$ is a principal nilpotent. ☐

Consequently restriction gives an isomorphism from the ring of $\mathcal{B}$-basic and invariant forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$ to the ring of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}_e$-basic and invariant forms on $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Let ϕ denote the projection $L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. It is well-known that $\phi(x)(0)$ is zero for $x \in L[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ if and only if $x(0)$ is nilpotent in L_0. Thus ϕ restricts to a map $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\{h, e, f\}$ be a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in L_0, and let $\eta = e + \left(zL^f[[z]]\right)^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Then the composition $\eta \hookrightarrow e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism, and every $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}_e$-orbit in $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ intersects η at a unique point. The projection $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth and surjective, as is the multiplication map $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}_e \times \eta \to e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$.*

Proof. Let ν denote the Kostant slice $e + L^f$ of L. Note that η is the subset $\{f \in \nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} : f(0) = e\}$. Since all principal nilpotents lie in a single orbit, and every orbit intersects ν at a unique point, e is the only nilpotent in ν. Hence the isomorphism $\nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to Q[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ identifies η with $(zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. The map $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-equivariant, so every $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-orbit in $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ intersects η in no more than one point. Conversely, given $x \in e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ there is $g \in G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ such that $gx \in \nu[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Since $g(0)x(0)$ is a principal nilpotent, gx must belong to η and consequently g belongs to $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. The map $g^{-1}\eta \hookrightarrow e+(zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism and $g^{-1}\eta$ contains x, so $e+(zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth and surjective. It follows as in Lemmas 4.24 and 6.5 that $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \eta \to e+(zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth. ☐

This leads to the main proposition of this section.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an Iwahori subalgebra of the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the standard nilpotent subalgebra. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding Iwahori subgroup of $G[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Then pullback via the map $\hat{\mathfrak{n}} \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ gives an isomorphism between the ring of algebraic forms on $(zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ and the ring of $\mathcal{B}$-basic and invariant algebraic forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.6, restriction to $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ gives an isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}$-basic and invariant forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-basic and invariant forms on $e+(zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Since $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}} \times \eta \to e+(zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is smooth by Lemma 6.7 and $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-equivariant, restriction from $e + (zL[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to η is injective on $G[[z]]_e^{\tilde{\sigma}}$-basic and invariant forms. Since $\eta \to (zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism, all forms on η arise via pullback from $(zQ[[z]])^{\tilde{\sigma}}$. But $\hat{\mathfrak{n}} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is dense in $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$, so all $\mathcal{B}$-basic and invariant forms on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$ are pullbacks. ☐

This proof does not extend to nilpotent subalgebras of other parahorics, as $\mathfrak{u} \cap L^{reg}[[z]]$ is non-empty only in the Borel case. Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 6.8 together imply Theorem 2.6.

References

[BGG73] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gel'fand, and S.I. Gel'fand. *Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces G/P.* Russ. Math. Surv. 28 (1), 1973.

[Bo53] A. Borel. *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibres principaux et des espaces homogenes des groupes de Lie compacts.* Ann. of Math. 57 (2), pp. 115- 207, 1953.

[Bo91] A. Borel. *Linear algebraic groups.* 2ed, Springer-Verlag, Graduate texts in mathematics 126, 1991.

[ZB85] D. Bressoud and D. Zeilberger. *A proof of Andrews' q-Dyson conjecture.* Discrete Mathematics 54 (2), pp. 201-224, 1985.

[Br98] M. Brion. *Differential forms on quotients by reductive group actions.* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society (9) 126, pp. 2535-2539, 1998.

[Ca05] R.W. Carter. *Lie algebras of finite and affine type.* 1st ed, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[Ch95] I. Cherednik. *Double Affine Hecke Algebras and Macdonald's Conjectures.* Annals of Mathematics, vol. 141, no. 1, 191-216, 1995.

[Ch55] C. Chevalley. *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections.* American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 778-782, 1955.

[CE48] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg. *Cohomology Theory of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras.* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 85-124, 1948.

[Fe91] B.I. Feigin. *Differential operators on the moduli space of G-bundles over curves and Lie algebra cohomology.* Special functions (Okayama, 1990), ICM-90 Satel l. Conf. Proc., Springer, Tokyo, pp. 90-103, 1991.

[FT06] E. Frenkel and C. Teleman. *Self-extensions of Verma modules and differential forms on opers.* Compositio Mathematica 142, pp. 477-500, 2006.

[FGZ86] I.B. Frenkel, H. Garland, and G.J. Zuckerman. *Semi-infinite cohomology and string theory.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci 83 (22), pp. 8442-8446, 1986.

[GG91] F. Garvan and G. Gonnet. *Macdonald's constant term conjectures for exceptional root systems.* Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society (New Series) 24 (2), pp. 343-347, 1991.

[FGT08] I. Grojnowski and C. Teleman. *The strong Macdonald conjecture and Hodge theory on the loop Grassmannian.* Annals of Mathematics 168 (1), pp. 175-220, 2008.

[Gu90] R. Gustafson. *A generalization of Selberg's beta integral.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 22 (1), pp. 97-105, 1990.

[Hab86] L. Habseiger. *La q-conjecture de Macdonald-Morris pour G_2.* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math 303, pp. 211-213, 1986.

[Ha86] P. Hanlon. *Cyclic homology and the Macdonald conjectures.* Inventiones Mathematicae, v. 86, no. 1, 1986.

[Ha90] P. Hanlon. *Some conjectures and results concerning the homology of nilpotent Lie algebras.* Adv. Math., v. 84, no. 1, 91-134, 1990.

[Ha94] P. Hanlon. *Combinatorial problems concerning Lie algebra homology.* In Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 24, American Mathematical Society: 1994.

[HW03] P. Hanlon and M. Wachs. *On the property M conjecture for the Heisenberg Lie algebra.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 99, no. 2, 219-231, 2002.

[HS53] G. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre. *Cohomology of Lie algebras.* Annals of Mathematics 57 (3), pp. 591-603, 1953.

[Ka83] V.G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras / an introduction.* 1st ed, Birkhauser, Progress in Mathematics 44, 1983.

[Kad94] K.W.J. Kadell. *A proof of the q-Macdonald-Morris conjecture for BC_n.* Memoirs of the AMS 108, 1994.

[Ko59] B. Kostant. *The Principal Three-Dimensional Subgroup and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group.* American Journal of Mathematics 81 (4), pp. 973-1032, 1959.

[Ko63a] B. Kostant. *Lie Algebra Cohomology and Generalized Schubert Cells.* Annals of Mathematics 77 (1), pp. 72-144.

[Ko63b] B. Kostant. *Lie Group Representations on Polynomial Rings.* American Journal of Mathematics 85 (3), pp. 327-404, 1963.

[KK86] B. Kostant and S. Kumar. *The Nil Hecke Ring and Cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody Group G.* Advances in Mathematics 62, pp. 187-237, 1986.

[Ku99] S. Kumar. *Homology of certain truncated Lie algebras.* Contemporary Mathematics 248, pp. 309-325, 1999.

[Lu73] D. Luna. *Slices etales.* Bull. Soc. Math. France 33, pp. 81-105, 1973.

[Ma82] I.G. Macdonald. *Some Conjectures for Root Systems.* SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 13 (6), pp. 988-1007, 1982.

[Ma72] I.G. Macdonald. *The Poincare series of a Coxeter group.* Math. Annalen 199, pp. 161-174, 1972.

[Ma88] I. G. MacDonald. *A new class of symmetric functions.* Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg 372/S-20, 1988.

[MC01] J. McCleary. *A User's Guide to Spectral Sequences.* 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 58, 2001.

[Mu01] M. Mustata. *Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities.* Invent. Math. 145 (3), pp. 397-424, 2001.

[Sl10] W. Slofstra. *A Brylinski filtration for affine Kac-Moody algebras.* arXiv: 1012.2095.

[St88] J.R. Stembridge. *A short proof of Macdonald's conjecture for the root systems of type A.* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 102 (4), pp. 777-786, 1988.

[Te95] C. Teleman. *Lie algebra cohomology and the fusion rules.* Communications in Mathematical Physics, 172 (2), pp. 265-311, 1995.

[Ze87] D. Zeilberger. *A proof of the G_2 case of Macdonald's root system-Dyson conjecture.* SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 18, pp. 880-883, 1987.

[Ze88] D. Zeilberger. *A unified approach to Macdonald's root-system conjectures.* SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 19 (4), 1988.

E-mail address: `slofstra@math.berkeley.edu`